Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **000**, 1–?? (2012) Printed 6 January 2014 (MN LATEX style file v2.2)

Solar wind dominance over the Poynting-Robertson effect in secular orbital evolution of dust particles

J. Klačka
Faculty of Mathematics, Physics, and Informatics, Comenius University, Mlynská dolina, 842 48 Bratislava, Slovak Republic
e-mail: klacka@fmph.uniba.sk

arXiv:1401.0581v1 [astro-ph.EP] 3 Jan 2014

Released 2013 xxx XX

ABSTRACT

Properties of the solar wind are discussed and applied to the effect of the wind on motion of bodies in the Solar System. The velocity density function for the solar wind constituents is given by the $\kappa-$distribution. The relevant contributions to the solar wind action contain also the sputtering and reflection components in addition to direct impact. The solar wind effect is more important than the action of the solar electromagnetic radiation, as for the secular orbital evolution. The effect of the solar corpuscular radiation is more important than the Poynting-Robertson effect even when mass of the dust particle is considered to be constant, non-radial component of the solar wind velocity is neglected and the time dependence of the solar wind properties is ignored.

The presented equation of motion of a body under the action of the solar radiation, electromagnetic and corpuscular, respects reality in a much better way than the conventionally used equation. The acceleration of the body is proportional to the superposition of the radial velocity component multiplied by the numerical coefficient $[2 + (\eta_1 + \eta_2)/\overline{Q}'_{pr}]$ and the transversal velocity component multiplied by the numerical coefficient $(1 + \eta_2/\overline{Q}'_{pr})$, where $\overline{Q}'_{pr}$ is the dimensionless efficiency factor of the radiation pressure. Here $\eta_1 \doteq 1.1$, $\eta_2 \doteq 1.4$ and the velocity is the body's velocity with respect to the Sun. Also time variability of η_1 and η_2 due to the solar cycle is given.

The dimensionless cross section the dust grain presents to wind pressure is about 4.7. This value differs from the conventionally used value 1.0. The mass-loss rate of the zodiacal cloud is 4-times higher than the currently accepted value, as for the micron-sized dust particles.

Key words: celestial mechanics, interplanetary medium, meteors, meteoroids, stars: winds, outflow.

1 INTRODUCTION

Various non-gravitational forces have to be taken into account when dealing with orbital evolution of dust particles in the Solar System. Solar corpuscular radiation, i.e. solar wind, plays an important role besides the effect of solar electromagnetic radiation. Conventional approach considers the solar wind effect to be (20-30)% contribution to the velocity dependent part of the solar electromagnetic radiation effect (e.g., Whipple 1955, 1967, Dohnanyi 1978, Abe 2009). This simple idea may not correspond to reality, in general (Klačka 1994, Bruno et al. 2003, Klačka et al. 2008, 2012, Klačka 2013).

This paper discusses the importance of the solar wind effect on the motion of an interplanetary dust particle (IDP). The paper may be considered as an another paper trying to understand action of various non-gravitational effects on motion of the IDPs. While the action of the electromagnetic radiation on spherical bodies, known as the Poynting-Robertson (P-R) effect, is well understood now (Poynting 1903, Robertson 1937, Klačka 1992b, 2004, 2008a, 2008b, Klačka et al. 2009). Current understanding of the P-R effect is exact and it respects both the Lorenz-Mie solution of Maxwell's equations (Lorenz 1890, Mie 1908) and the relativity theory. While the action of the electromagnetic radiation is completely understood now, even for arbitrarily shaped dust grains, this paper shows that the action of the solar wind cannot be considered to be satisfactorily understood. We reconsider the conventional statement that the P-R effect is (3 - 5)-times more important than the solar wind effect, as for the long-term evolution of the IDP. Although Klačka (2013) has shown that the P-R effect is only 1.5-times more important than the action of the solar wind, the result holds for the Maxwell-Boltzmann velocity distri-

bution of the solar wind corpuscles. This paper will got further and it will consider $\kappa-$distribution as a more realistic velocity distribution of the solar wind corpuscles.

This paper discusses the importance of the solar wind effect on motion of an IDP. We will concentrate on the fact that the kappa-distribution is the relevant velocity distribution for the solar wind corpuscles, in difference from the conventional approach considering the Maxwell-Boltzmann velocity distribution. Also the fact that the relevant contributions of the solar wind action on the IDP contain also the sputtering and reflection components in addition to direct impact, will be taken into account.

Action of an interstellar gas flow is relevant in the outer planetary zone and beyond it (Pástor et al. 2011). We will not treat the interstellar gas flow in our paper (we are constraining our analysis to low gas density regimes).

Stellar winds exist in greater part of stars (see, e.g., Strubbe and Chiang 2006, Plavchan et. al 2009). Thus, better understanding of the action of the solar wind on evolution of bodies in the Solar System can improve our understanding of dust dynamics in disks around the stars.

2 SOLAR WIND INDUCED FORCE

We are interested in the solar wind induced force acting on an IDP. The relative velocity of an individual solar wind particle with respect to the solar wind rest frame is

$$\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{u} - \overrightarrow{u_0} \ , \tag{1}$$

where the vector $\overrightarrow{u}$ is the velocity of the individual solar wind particle with respect to the Sun and the vector $\overrightarrow{u_0} \equiv \langle \overrightarrow{u} \rangle$ is the solar wind bulk velocity or the mean/average solar wind velocity for a given moment (we do not consider the oscillation over the solar cycle, now). The relative velocity of the individual solar wind particle with respect to the IDP is

$$\overrightarrow{v_{rel}} = \overrightarrow{u} - \overrightarrow{v} = \overrightarrow{w} - (\overrightarrow{v} - \overrightarrow{u_0}) \ , \tag{2}$$

where $\overrightarrow{v}$ is the velocity of the IDP with respect to the Sun.

The momentum transferred per impact is

$$\xi \ m_i \ \overrightarrow{v_{rel}} \ , \tag{3}$$

where ξ is the adsorption coefficient or sticking factor, which describes the actual type of collision (i.e., specular, or diffuse, or adsorption; $\xi \in \langle 1, 2 \rangle$) and m_i is the mass of a solar wind particle (i.e., proton, electron, alpha-particle He^{2+}, ...).

The solar wind flux is

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{swi}(\varphi, \overrightarrow{r}) &= n_i(\varphi, \overrightarrow{r}) \ |\overrightarrow{v_{rel}}| \ , \\ n_i(\varphi, \overrightarrow{r}) &= n_{i0}(\varphi, \hat{\overrightarrow{r}}) \left(\frac{r_0}{r} \right)^2 \equiv n_{i0} \left(\frac{r_0}{r} \right)^2 \ , \\ r_0 &\equiv 1 \ AU \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $n_{i0}(\varphi, \hat{\overrightarrow{r}})$ is the local concentration of solar wind particles at 1 AU and it is a function of the solar cycle phase φ and heliocentric (unit) position vector $\hat{\overrightarrow{r}}$, in general. After multiplying by the cross-sectional area πR^2 of the IDP of radius R we get the number of solar wind particles

$$\pi R^2 \ n_i(\varphi, \overrightarrow{r}) \ |\overrightarrow{v_{rel}}| \tag{5}$$

interacting with the IDP per second.

Multiplication of expressions (3) and (5)

$$\xi \ m_i \ \overrightarrow{v_{rel}} \ \pi R^2 \ n_i(\varphi, \overrightarrow{r}) \ |\overrightarrow{v_{rel}}| \tag{6}$$

describes a change of momentum of the IDP after all interactions with solar wind particles per second. The solar wind induced force generated by the $i-$th type of the solar wind particles leads to the acceleration

$$\begin{aligned} \overrightarrow{F_i} &= \xi \ \frac{m_i}{m} \ \pi R^2 \ n_i(\varphi, \overrightarrow{r}) \\ &\quad \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\overrightarrow{v_{rel}}| \ \overrightarrow{v_{rel}} \ f_i(\overrightarrow{w}) \ d^3 \overrightarrow{w} \ , \\ \overrightarrow{v_{rel}} &= \overrightarrow{w} - \overrightarrow{l} \ , \\ \overrightarrow{l} &\equiv \overrightarrow{v} - \overrightarrow{u_0} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $f_i(\overrightarrow{w})$ is a density function describing some velocity distribution and m is mass of the body under the action of the solar wind (interplanetary dust particle).

If the solar wind particles would behave as particles of an ideal gas, then one should use the Maxwell velocity distribution

$$f_i^M(\overrightarrow{w}) = \left(\frac{m_i}{2\pi k T_{Mi}} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left(- \frac{m_i \overrightarrow{w}^2}{2 k T_{Mi}} \right) \ , \tag{8}$$

where k is the Boltzmann constant, m_i is mass of the ideal gas particle and T_{Mi} is the Maxwellian temperature. However, the physical kinetic model of the solar wind is based on the generalized Lorentzian or $\kappa-$distribution density function for solar wind particles (Vasyliunas 1968, Scudder 1992a, 1992b, Maksimovic *et al.* 1997, Pierrard *et al.* 2004, Gloeckler *et al.* 2010, Lazar *et al.* 2012):

$$\begin{aligned} f_i^{\kappa}(\overrightarrow{w}) &= \frac{1}{(\pi \kappa_i \ w_{\kappa i}^2)^{3/2}} \ \frac{\Gamma(\kappa_i + 1)}{\Gamma(\kappa_i - 1/2)} \\ &\quad \times \left(1 + \frac{\overrightarrow{w}^2}{\kappa_i \ w_{\kappa i}^2} \right)^{-(\kappa_i + 1)} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $\Gamma(x)$ is the gamma function and $w_{\kappa i}$ is an equivalent thermal speed. The $w_{\kappa i}$ is related to the Maxwellian (thermal) temperature T_M by

$$w_{\kappa i} = \sqrt{\frac{(2\kappa_i - 3) \ k \ T_{Mi}}{\kappa_i \ m_i}} \ . \tag{10}$$

We remind that $\lim_{\kappa \to \infty} f^{\kappa}(\overrightarrow{w}) = f^M(\overrightarrow{w})$.

In order to find the acceleration $\overrightarrow{F_i}$, we have to insert Eqs. (8)-(10) into Eq. (7). One easily obtains (compare Banaszkiewicz *et al.* 1994 - Appendix B)

$$\overrightarrow{F_i} = \frac{1}{m} \ \Lambda_i \ (\overrightarrow{I_{1i}} - I_{2i} \ \overrightarrow{l}) \ , \tag{11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_i &\equiv \xi \ m_i^{5/2} \ \pi^{-1/2} \ R^2 \ n_i(\varphi, \overrightarrow{r}) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2 k T_{Mi}} \right)^{3/2} \ , \quad Maxwell \ distr. \ , \\ \Lambda_i &\equiv \xi \ m_i \ \pi^{-1/2} \ R^2 \ n_i(\varphi, \overrightarrow{r}) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(\kappa_i \ w_{\kappa i}^2)^{3/2}} \ \frac{\Gamma(\kappa_i + 1)}{\Gamma(\kappa_i - 1/2)} \ , \quad \kappa - distr. \ , \\ \overrightarrow{l} &\equiv \overrightarrow{v} - \overrightarrow{u_0} \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{I_{1i}} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\overrightarrow{w} - \overrightarrow{l}|\ \overrightarrow{w}\ g_i(\overrightarrow{w})\ d^3\overrightarrow{w}\ , \\
I_{2i} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\overrightarrow{w} - \overrightarrow{l}|\ g_i(\overrightarrow{w})\ d^3\overrightarrow{w}\ , \\
g_i(\overrightarrow{w}) &= \exp\left(-\frac{m_i\ \overrightarrow{w}^2}{2kT_{Mi}}\right)\ ,\ Maxwell\ distr.\ , \\
g_i(\overrightarrow{w}) &= \left(1+\frac{\overrightarrow{w}^2}{\kappa_i\ w_{\kappa i}^2}\right)^{-(\kappa_i+1)}\ ,\ \kappa - distr.\ . \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $\overrightarrow{l} = |\overrightarrow{l}|\ \hat{\overrightarrow{l}} \equiv l\ \hat{\overrightarrow{l}}$, we have $|\overrightarrow{w} - \overrightarrow{l}| = \sqrt{w^2+l^2-2\ w\ l\ \cos\vartheta'}$, where we used $w = |\overrightarrow{w}|$ and $\overrightarrow{w} \cdot \hat{\overrightarrow{l}} = w\ \cos\vartheta'$. Thus, using spherical polar coordinates in velocity space $w \in \langle 0,\infty)$, $\vartheta' \in \langle 0,\pi\rangle$, $\varphi' \in \langle 0, 2\pi)$ and the orthonormal vectors $\hat{\overrightarrow{e}}_1$, $\hat{\overrightarrow{e}}_2$, $\hat{\overrightarrow{l}}$, we have $\overrightarrow{w} = w\ \sin\vartheta'\ \cos\varphi'\ \hat{\overrightarrow{e}}_1 + w\ \sin\vartheta'\ \sin\varphi'\ \hat{\overrightarrow{e}}_2 + w\ \cos\vartheta'\ \hat{\overrightarrow{l}}$ and $d^3\overrightarrow{w} = w^2\ \sin\vartheta'\ dw\ d\varphi'\ d\vartheta'$. Eqs. (13) can be rewritten, using substitution and per partes methods, to the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{I_{1i}} &= 2\ \pi\ \int_0^{\infty} \mathrm{d}w\ \int_0^{\pi} \mathrm{d}\vartheta' \left[w^3\ g_i(w)\right. \\
&\quad \left.\times\ \sqrt{w^2+l^2-2\ w\ l\ \cos\vartheta'}\ \cos\vartheta'\ \sin\vartheta'\right]\ \hat{\overrightarrow{l}} \\
&= 2\ \pi\ \int_0^{\infty} w^3\ g_i(w) \int_{-1}^{1} x \\
&\quad \times\ \sqrt{w^2+l^2-2\ w\ l\ x}\ \mathrm{d}x\ \mathrm{d}w\ \hat{\overrightarrow{l}} \\
&= -\ \frac{2\pi}{3}\ \int_0^{\infty} w^2\ g_i(w) \left[|w-l|^3 + (w+l)^3\right. \\
&\quad \left.+\ \frac{|w-l|^5 - (w+l)^5}{5\ w\ l}\right]\ \mathrm{d}w\ \frac{\hat{\overrightarrow{l}}}{l} \\
&= -\ \frac{4\pi}{15}\ \int_0^{l} w^4 \left(5 - \frac{w^2}{l^2}\right)\ g_i(w)\ \mathrm{d}w\ \hat{\overrightarrow{l}} \\
&\quad -\ \frac{4\pi}{15}\ l\ \int_l^{\infty} w^3 \left(5 - \frac{l^2}{w^2}\right)\ g_i(w)\ \mathrm{d}w\ \hat{\overrightarrow{l}}\ , \\
I_{2i} &= 2\pi \int_0^{\infty} w^2 g_i(w) \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{w^2+l^2-2\ w\ l\ x}\ \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}w \\
&= -\ \frac{2\pi}{3} l^{-1} \int_0^{\infty} w g_i(w) \left[|w-l|^3 - (w+l)^3\right] dw \\
&= \frac{4\pi}{3}\ l\ \int_0^{l} w^2 \left(3 + \frac{w^2}{l^2}\right)\ g_i(w)\ \mathrm{d}w \\
&\quad +\ \frac{4\pi}{3}\ \int_l^{\infty} w^3 \left(3 + \frac{l^2}{w^2}\right)\ g_i(w)\ \mathrm{d}w\ ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_i(\overrightarrow{w}) &= \exp\left(-\frac{m_i\ \overrightarrow{w}^2}{2kT_{Mi}}\right)\ ,\ Maxwell\ distr.\ , \\
g_i(\overrightarrow{w}) &= \left(1+\frac{\overrightarrow{w}^2}{\kappa_i\ w_{\kappa i}^2}\right)^{-(\kappa_i+1)}\ ,\ \kappa - distr.\ . \qquad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

2.1 Maxwell velocity distribution

As for the Maxwell velocity distribution, Eqs. (14) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{I_{1i}} &= \frac{\pi}{2}\ \left\{-\ \frac{1}{l}\ \left(\frac{m_i}{2kT_{Mi}}\right)^{-3}\ \exp\left(-\frac{m_i\ l^2}{2kT_{Mi}}\right)\right. \\
&\quad +\ \sqrt{\pi}\ erf\left(l\ \sqrt{\frac{m_i}{2kT_{Mi}}}\right) \\
&\quad \left.\times\ \left[\frac{1}{2\ l^2}\ \left(\frac{m_i}{2kT_{Mi}}\right)^{-7/2} - \left(\frac{m_i}{2kT_{Mi}}\right)^{-5/2}\right]\right\}\ \hat{\overrightarrow{l}}\ , \\
I_{2i} &= \frac{\pi}{l}\ \left(\frac{m_i}{2kT_{Mi}}\right)^{-3/2}\ times \\
&\quad \left[l\ \left(\frac{m_i}{2kT_{Mi}}\right)^{-1/2}\ \exp\left(-\frac{m_i\ l^2}{2kT_{Mi}}\right)\right. \\
&\quad \left.+\ \sqrt{\pi}\ erf\left(l\ \sqrt{\frac{m_i}{2kT_{Mi}}}\right)\ \left(\frac{kT_{Mi}}{m_i}\ +\ l^2\right)\right]\ , \qquad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$erf\,(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\ \int_0^x \exp\left(-\ t^2\right)\ dt \qquad (16)$$

is the error function.

Eqs. (11), (12) and (15) yield for the acceleration due to the solar wind particles

$$\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{F} &= -\ \frac{\pi\ R^2\ \sum_i m_i\ n_{i0}\ c_{Di}}{m\ \xi}\ \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^2\ |\overrightarrow{v} - \overrightarrow{u_0}|^2\ \hat{\overrightarrow{l}}\ , \\
\hat{\overrightarrow{l}} &= (\overrightarrow{v} - \overrightarrow{u_0})/|\overrightarrow{v} - \overrightarrow{u_0}|\ , \\
i &= e,\ p,\ \alpha, \ldots\ , \\
r_0 &= 1\ AU\ , \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

where r is the heliocentric distance, the symbols p, α and e denote protons, He^{2+} and electrons, c_{Di} is the drag coefficient given by

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{Di} &= \frac{\xi}{M_i}\ \left(1+\frac{1}{2M_i^2}\right)\ \frac{e^{-M_i^2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \\
&\quad +\ \xi\ \left(1+\frac{1}{M_i^2} - \frac{1}{4M_i^4}\right)\ erf\,(M_i) \qquad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$M_i = |\overrightarrow{v} - \overrightarrow{u_0}|/\sqrt{\frac{2kT_{Mi}}{m_i}} \qquad (19)$$

is the Mach number, $u_0 = 450\ (468)\ km\ s^{-1}$ (Hundhausen 1997, Zirker 1981) and ξ is the adsorption coefficient or sticking factor which describes the actual type of collisions, $\xi = 2$ for adsorption. Eq. (17) differs from Eqs. (19)-(20) and Eq. (A9) presented by Banaszkiewicz *et al.* (1994).

Rewriting Eq. (17) into the form

$$\overrightarrow{F} = \frac{\pi\ R^2\ m_p\ n_{p0}}{m\ \xi}\ \left(\sum_i \frac{m_i\ n_{i0}}{m_p\ n_{p0}}\ c_{Di}\right)$$

$$\times \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^2 |\overrightarrow{u_0} - \overrightarrow{v}| \, (\overrightarrow{u_0} - \overrightarrow{v}) \, ,$$
$$i = e,\ p,\ \alpha, \ldots \, ,$$
$$r_0 = 1\ AU \, , \qquad (20)$$

helps us to obtain the form corresponding to Banaszkiewicz *et al.* (1994):

$$\overrightarrow{F} = \frac{\pi\ R^2\ m_p\ n_{p0}}{m\ \xi} \left(\sum_{i=p,\alpha} c^d_{D,i}\right) \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^2 \times |\overrightarrow{u_0} - \overrightarrow{v}| \, (\overrightarrow{u_0} - \overrightarrow{v}) \, ,$$
$$r_0 = 1\ AU \, , \qquad (21)$$
$$c^d_{D,\alpha} = \frac{m_\alpha\ n_{\alpha 0}}{m_p\ n_{p0}}\ c^d_{D,p} \, . \qquad (22)$$

since the acceleration generated by the solar wind electrons and other ions is negligible, see Banaszkiewicz *et al.* (1994 - p. 373). The terms $c^d_{D,i}$ correspond to direct impact.

If also sputtering and reflections (besides the direct impact) are considered, then

$$\overrightarrow{F} = \frac{\pi\ R^2\ m_p\ n_{p0}}{m\ \xi}\ c^{total}_D \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^2 |\overrightarrow{u_0} - \overrightarrow{v}| \, (\overrightarrow{u_0} - \overrightarrow{v}) \, ,$$
$$r_0 = 1\ AU \, , \qquad (23)$$

where

$$c^{total}_D = \sum_i \left(c^d_{D,i} + c^s_{D,i} + c^r_{D,i}\right)$$
$$i = e,\ p,\ \alpha, \ldots \qquad (24)$$

and the indices d, r and s refer to direct impact, sputtering and reflection, respectively. Conventionally, $c^d_{D,p} = 2$ for the adsorption coefficient $\xi = 2$.

As for the direct impact, we can write

$$c^d_{D,p} + c^d_{D,\alpha} = c^d_D \ (1 + \alpha_d) \, ,$$
$$\alpha_d = \frac{n_\alpha\ m_\alpha}{n_p\ m_p} \, ,$$
$$c^d_{D,e} = c^d_{D\ e}\ \zeta_d \, ,$$
$$\zeta_d = \frac{n_e\ m_e}{n_p\ m_p} \, . \qquad (25)$$

Using the values presented in Appendix C in Banaszkiewicz *et al.* (1994 - p. 373), we can write

$$c^s_{D,p} + c^s_{D,\alpha} = c^d_D \ (0.15 + \alpha_s) \, ,$$
$$\alpha_s \in \langle 10, 15\rangle \ \frac{n_\alpha}{n_p} \, , \qquad (26)$$

for various materials, and,

$$c^r_{D,p} + c^r_{D,\alpha} = c^d_D \ (0.01 + \alpha_r) \, ,$$
$$\alpha_r = 0.0015 \, . \qquad (27)$$

Moreover, it is assumed that

$$c^s_{D,e} = 0 \, ,$$
$$c^r_{D,e} = 0 \, . \qquad (28)$$

2.2 $\kappa-$distribution

It is well-known that $\kappa-$distribution match the observational data on solar wind particles in much better way than the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution (see, e.g., Maksimovic *et al.* 1997 - Fig. 3, Lazar *et al.* 2012). The constituents of the solar wind are: electrons, protons, helium nuclei (α-particles), heavy ions and molecular ions (carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, neon, sodium, magnesium, argon, sulphur, potassium, silicon, iron, CH^+, NH^+, OH^+, H_2O^+, H_3O^+, MgH^+, HCN^+, $C_2H_4^+$, SO^+ and many others), see, e.g., Pierrard *et al.* (2004), Gloeckler *et al.* (2010). The constituents are characterized by the values $\kappa \in \langle 2, 5)$ (e.g., Lazar *et al.* 2012).

The solar wind-induced acceleration has the following form, in the case of the $\kappa-$distribution of the solar wind particles, see Eqs. (10), (11), (12) and (14):

$$\overrightarrow{F}_i = \frac{1}{m}\ \Lambda_{\kappa i}\ (\overrightarrow{I_{1\kappa i}} - I_{2\kappa i}\ \overrightarrow{l}) \, ,$$
$$\Lambda_{\kappa i} \equiv \xi\ m_i\ \pi^{-1/2}\ R^2\ n_i(\varphi, \overrightarrow{r}) \times \frac{1}{(\kappa_i\ w^2_{\kappa i})^{3/2}}\ \frac{\Gamma(\kappa_i + 1)}{\Gamma(\kappa_i - 1/2)} \, ,$$
$$\overrightarrow{l} \equiv \overrightarrow{v} - \overrightarrow{u_0} \, ,$$
$$\overrightarrow{I_{1\kappa i}} = -\frac{4\pi}{15} \int_0^l w^4 \left(5 - \frac{w^2}{l^2}\right) \times \left(1 + \frac{w^2}{\kappa_i\ w^2_{\kappa i}}\right)^{-(\kappa_i + 1)} \mathrm{d}w\ \hat{\overrightarrow{l}} - \frac{4\pi}{15}\ l \int_l^\infty w^3 \left(5 - \frac{l^2}{w^2}\right) \times \left(1 + \frac{w^2}{\kappa_i\ w^2_{\kappa i}}\right)^{-(\kappa_i + 1)} \mathrm{d}w\ \hat{\overrightarrow{l}} \, ,$$
$$I_{2\kappa i} = \frac{4\pi}{3}\ l \int_0^l w^2 \left(3 + \frac{w^2}{l^2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{w^2}{\kappa_i\ w^2_{\kappa i}}\right)^{-(\kappa_i + 1)} \mathrm{d}w + \frac{4\pi}{3} \int_l^\infty w^3 \left(3 + \frac{l^2}{w^2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{w^2}{\kappa_i\ w^2_{\kappa i}}\right)^{-(\kappa_i + 1)} \mathrm{d}w \, ,$$
$$w_{\kappa i} = \sqrt{(2\kappa_i - 3) k T_{Mi}/(\kappa_i m_i)} \, ,$$
$$\hat{\overrightarrow{l}} = \overrightarrow{l}/|\overrightarrow{l}| \, . \qquad (29)$$

The acceleration represented by Eqs. (29) corresponds to the direct impact component. Thus, the total acceleration can be written as

$$\overrightarrow{F} \doteq \frac{\pi R^2 m_p n_{p0}}{m\ \xi} \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^2 |\overrightarrow{u_0} - \overrightarrow{v}| (\overrightarrow{u_0} - \overrightarrow{v}) \ c^{tot}_D \, ,$$
$$c^{tot}_D = \sum_j \left(c^d_{D,j} + c^s_{D,j} + c^r_{D,j}\right) \, ,$$
$$c^d_{D,j} = \frac{4\ \xi^2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\ \frac{\Gamma(\kappa_j + 1)}{\Gamma(\kappa_j - 1/2)}\ \frac{c^d_{D,j}(M)}{c^d_D} \times X^d_j \, ,$$
$$c^d_{D,j}(M) = c^d_D\ \frac{n_j\ m_j}{n_p\ m_p} \, ,$$
$$c^s_{D,p} = 0.15\ c^d_D \, ,$$
$$c^s_{D,e} \doteq 0 \, ,$$
$$c^s_{D,j} = \langle 2.50, 3.75\rangle \times c^d_D\ \frac{n_j\ m_j}{n_p\ m_p} \, , \quad if\ j \neq \text{e, p} \, ,$$
$$c^r_{D,j} = 0.01\ c^d_D\ \frac{n_j\ m_j}{n_p\ m_p} \, ,$$
$$\xi = 2 \, ,$$
$$c^d_D = 2 \, ,$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_0 &= 1 \text{ AU} , \\
j &= \text{e, p, } {}^4\text{He, heavy ions, molecular ions} , \qquad (30)
\end{aligned}
$$

where also Eqs. (22), (25), (26), (27) and (28) are used (experimental data may improve the numerical values, in future), and,

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_j^d &= \frac{4}{3}\, q_j^3 \int_1^\infty \frac{x/5 + x^3}{\left(1 + q_j^2\, x^2\right)^{\kappa_j + 1}}\, \mathrm{d}x \\
&\quad + q_j^3 \int_0^1 \frac{x^2 + 2x^4/3 - x^6/15}{\left(1 + q_j^2\, x^2\right)^{\kappa_j + 1}}\, \mathrm{d}x , \\
q_j &= |\overrightarrow{u_0} - \overrightarrow{v}|/(\sqrt{\kappa_j}\, w_{\kappa j}) , \\
w_{\kappa j} &= \sqrt{(2\kappa_j - 3)\, k\, T_{M,j}/(\kappa_j\, m_j)} . \qquad (31)
\end{aligned}
$$

The first integral in Eqs. (31) can be easily calculated using substitution and per partes methods:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\infty,j} &\equiv \int_1^\infty \frac{x/5 + x^3}{\left(1 + q_j^2\, x^2\right)^{\kappa_j + 1}}\, \mathrm{d}x \\
&= \frac{3}{5\, \kappa_j}\, \frac{1}{q_j^2 \left(1 + q_j^2\right)^{\kappa_j}} \left[1 + \frac{5\left(1 + 1/q_j^2\right)}{6\ (\kappa_j - 1)}\right] . \qquad (32)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, the second integral in Eqs. (31) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{0,j} &\equiv \int_0^1 \frac{x^2 + 2x^4/3 - x^6/15}{\left(1 + q_j^2\, x^2\right)^{\kappa_j + 1}}\, \mathrm{d}x \\
&= \frac{1}{2\kappa_j} \left[\frac{1}{q_j^3}\, J\left(\kappa_j, q_j\right) - \frac{8}{5}\, \frac{1}{q_j^2 \left(1 + q_j^2\right)^{\kappa_j}}\right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\kappa_j\, (\kappa_j - 1)}\, \frac{1}{q_j^5}\, J\left(\kappa_j - 1, q_j\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{5}{12\kappa_j\, (\kappa_j - 1)} \frac{1}{q_j^4 \left(1 + q_j^2\right)^{\kappa_j - 1}} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4\kappa_j\, (\kappa_j - 1)} \frac{1}{q_j^7} \\
&\quad \times \left[J\left(\kappa_j - 2, q_j\right) - J\left(\kappa_j - 1, q_j\right)\right] , \\
J\left(\zeta, q\right) &\equiv \int_0^q \left(1 + z^2\right)^{-\,\zeta}\, \mathrm{d}z . \qquad (33)
\end{aligned}
$$

Eqs. (31), (32) and (33) yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_j^d &= \frac{1}{4}\, \frac{1}{\kappa_j\, (\kappa_j - 1)}\, \frac{1}{q_j \left(1 + q_j^2\right)^{\kappa_j - 1}} + \\
&\quad \frac{1}{2}\, \frac{1}{\kappa_j}\, J\left(\kappa_j, q_j\right) + \\
&\quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\kappa_j\, (\kappa_j - 1)} \frac{1}{q_j^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{q_j^2}\right) J\left(\kappa_j - 1, q_j\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4}\, \frac{1}{\kappa_j\, (\kappa_j - 1)}\, \frac{1}{q_j^4}\, J\left(\kappa_j - 2, q_j\right) , \\
J\left(\zeta, q\right) &\equiv \int_0^q \left(1 + z^2\right)^{-\,\zeta}\, \mathrm{d}z , \\
J\left(\zeta, q\right) &= \frac{2\zeta - 3}{2\,(\zeta - 1)}\, J\left(\zeta - 1, q\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\,(\zeta - 1)}\, \frac{q}{(1 + q^2)^{\zeta - 1}} , \\
q_j &= |\overrightarrow{u_0} - \overrightarrow{v}|/(\sqrt{\kappa_j}\, w_{\kappa j}) , \\
w_{\kappa j} &= \sqrt{(2\kappa_j - 3)\, k\, T_{M,j}/(\kappa_j\, m_j)} . \qquad (34)
\end{aligned}
$$

quantity	Zirker	Hundhausen
n_{p0} [cm^{-3}]	8.70	6.60
$n_{\alpha 0}$ [cm^{-3}]	0.34	0.25
n_{e0} [cm^{-3}]	9.38	7.10
u_0 [$km\ s^{-1}$]	468	450

Table 1. Characteristics of the solar wind, according to the data presented by Zirker (1981 – Tables 5-3 and 5-4) and Hundhausen (1997 – p. 92). Average values of the concentrations of protons, He^{2+} and electrons (at 1 AU), together with the average value of the wind speed are presented.

j	n_j/n_p
e	1.076
p	1.000
^{4}He	3.788×10^{-2}
^{16}O	$(5.354 \pm 0.916) \times 10^{-4}$
^{20}Ne	$(6.693 \pm 1.029) \times 10^{-5}$
^{24}Mg	$(8.031 \pm 1.742) \times 10^{-5}$

Table 2. Relative concentrations of several solar wind constituents. The input data are taken from Lazar *et al.* (2012), *http : //ulysses.jpl.nasa.gov/science/mission_primary.html* and Hundhausen (1997), see also Table 1.

3 OBSERVATIONAL DATA

Average values for protons, α-particles and electrons are collected in Table 1 for two different sources.

Tables 2 and 3 present relative concentrations of several solar wind constituents for two sets of observational data. Table 4 offers temperature (measured in SI units) of several solar wind ions. Since we do not have temperatures of ^{20}Ne and ^{24}Mg in disposal, we consider two different values in this paper: $T[^{20}\text{Ne}^{1)}] = 6.905 \times 10^{-16}$ J, $T[^{24}\text{Mg}^{1)}] = 6.905 \times 10^{-16}$ J, and, $T[^{20}\text{Ne}^{2)}] = 2.762 \times 10^{-15}$ J, $T[^{24}\text{Mg}^{2)}] = 2.762 \times 10^{-15}$ J. In any case, low concentrations of ^{20}Ne and ^{24}Mg secure that the temperatures of the elements do not play any significant role in motion of a body under the action of the solar wind.

4 NUMERICAL CALCULATIONS

Eqs. (30) and (34) represent relevant equation of motion of a body due to the action of the solar wind. We will discuss

j	n_j/n_p
e	1.078
p	1.000
^{4}He	3.908×10^{-2}
^{16}O	$(4.062 \pm 0.695) \times 10^{-4}$
^{20}Ne	$(5.077 \pm 0.781) \times 10^{-5}$
^{24}Mg	$(6.092 \pm 1.322) \times 10^{-5}$

Table 3. Relative concentrations of several solar wind constituents. The input data are taken from Lazar *et al.* (2012), *http : //ulysses.jpl.nasa.gov/science/mission_primary.html* and Zirker (1981), see also Table 1.

j	$k\ T_{M,j}\ [J]$
e	1.381×10^{-17}
p	1.381×10^{-17}
^{4}He	6.905×10^{-16}
^{16}O	2.762×10^{-15}

Table 4. Temperatures of several solar wind ions (Pierrard 2012), k is the Boltzmann constant.

the special case, in order to show the importance of the equation of motion. We will use the value $\kappa = 2.0$, as a very good approximation to reality (see Maksimovic et al. 1997, Lazar *et al.* 2012, Pierrard 2012).

If $\kappa_j = 2$, then Eqs. (30) yield

$$\begin{aligned} c_{D,j}^d(\kappa = 2) &= 32.000 \times \pi^{-1} \times X_j^d \times c_{D,j}^d(M) \ , \\ c_{D,j}^d(M) &= 2\ \frac{n_j\ m_j}{n_p\ m_p} \ , \\ j &= \text{e, p,}^4\text{He, heavy ions, molecular ions .} \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Eqs. (34) reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} X_j^d &= \frac{1}{8}\ \frac{1}{q_j^3}\left[q_j^{-1}\ arctg\,(q_j) - 1\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8}\ \frac{1}{q_j}\ \left[2\ q_j^{-1}\ arctg\,(q_j) + 1\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8}\ arctg\,(q_j) \ , \\ q_j &= |\overrightarrow{u_0} - \overrightarrow{v}|/(\sqrt{2}\ w_{\kappa j}) \ , \\ w_{\kappa j} &= \sqrt{k\ T_{M,j}/(2\ m_j)} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

As an example, we can mention that the electron drag coefficient for the direct impact is 30-times greater than it is conventionally assumed, i. e., $c_{D,e}^d(\kappa = 2) = 29.4\ c_{D,e}^d(Maxwell - distribution)$. The approximation $q_j \doteq q_{0j} \equiv |\overrightarrow{u_0}|/(\sqrt{\kappa_j}\ w_{\kappa j})$ is used and the data of Hundhausen (1997) are taken into account.

5 EQUATION OF MOTION OF IDP UNDER THE ACTION OF THE SOLAR WIND

On the basis of our presentation we can conclude that the equation of motion of a spherical body, under the action of the solar gravity, P-R effect and the solar wind, can be obtained from Eqs. (30) and (31), or, using $\kappa = 2$ as a very good approximation, Eqs. (35)-(36). The acceleration of the body due to the solar wind is

$$\begin{aligned} \overrightarrow{F} &\doteq \frac{\pi R^2 m_p n_p}{2\ m}\ \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^2\ |\overrightarrow{u} - \overrightarrow{v}|(\overrightarrow{u} - \overrightarrow{v})\ c_D^{tot} \ , \\ c_D^{tot} &= \sum_j \left[\alpha_{sw}\,(1 - \delta_{jp}) + 0.3\ \delta_{jp} + \frac{8}{\pi}\ \beta_j\right]\ \frac{n_j}{n_p}\ \frac{m_j}{m_p} \ , \\ \beta_j &= \left(1 + \frac{2}{q_j^2} + \frac{1}{q_j^4}\right) arctg\,(q_j) + \frac{1}{q_j} - \frac{1}{q_j^3} \ , \\ q_j &= |\overrightarrow{u} - \overrightarrow{v}|/\zeta(j) \ , \\ \zeta(j) &= \sqrt{k\ T_{M,j}/m_j} \ , \\ \overrightarrow{u} &= u\,\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}} \ , \\ u &= u_0(1 - \delta\cos\varphi) \ , \\ n_p &= n_{p0}(1 - \delta\cos\varphi) \ , \\ \delta &= 0.15 \ , \\ \varphi &= 2\pi\ \frac{t - t_r - t_{max}}{T} \ , \quad t_r \doteq \frac{r}{2u_0} \ , \quad T = 11.1\ yr \ , \\ \widehat{\overrightarrow{u}} &= \gamma_R\ \overrightarrow{e}_R\ +\ \gamma_T\ \widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}_T \ , \\ \gamma_R &= \cos\varepsilon \ , \\ \gamma_T &= \sin\varepsilon \ , \\ \varepsilon &\in \langle 2^\circ, 3^\circ\rangle \ , \\ \overrightarrow{e}_R &= \overrightarrow{r}/|\overrightarrow{r}| \equiv \overrightarrow{r}/r \ , \\ \widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}_T &= \widehat{\overrightarrow{\omega}} \times \overrightarrow{e}_R/|\widehat{\overrightarrow{\omega}} \times \overrightarrow{e}_R| \ , \\ \widehat{\overrightarrow{\omega}} &= (\sin\Omega_S\ \sin i_S, -\ \cos\Omega_S\ \sin i_S, \cos i_S) \ , \\ i_S &= 7^\circ 15' \ , \quad \Omega_S = 73^\circ 40' + 50.25''(t[\text{yr}] - 1850) \ , \\ n_{p0} &\equiv n_{p0}(r_0) \ , \\ r_0 &= 1\ \text{AU} \ , \\ \alpha_{sw} &= 6.2 \pm 1.2 \ , \\ j &= \text{e, p, } ^4\text{He, heavy ions, molecular ions} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta, Tables 1 - 4 (Sec. 3) can be used, α_{sw} depends on material properties of dust particle, t_{max} is the instant of the solar cycle maximum, results from Klačka (1994) are used for $\widehat{\overrightarrow{\omega}}$ in ecliptic coordinates and ($k\ T_{M,j} = 6.905 \times 10^{-16}\ J$ [1)] and $k\ T_{M,j} = 2.762 \times 10^{-15}\ J$ [2)])

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(p) &= 9.0855 \times 10^1\ \text{km s}^{-1} \ , \\ \zeta(e) &= 3.8930 \times 10^3\ \text{km s}^{-1} \ , \\ \zeta(^4\text{He}) &= 3.2122 \times 10^2\ \text{km s}^{-1} \ , \\ \zeta(^{16}\text{O}) &= 3.2122 \times 10^2\ \text{km s}^{-1} \ , \\ \zeta(^{20}\text{Ne}^{1)}) &= 1.43654 \times 10^2\ \text{km s}^{-1} \ , \\ \zeta(^{24}\text{Mg}^{1)}) &= 1.3114 \times 10^2\ \text{km s}^{-1} \ , \\ \zeta(^{20}\text{Ne}^{2)}) &= 2.8731 \times 10^2\ \text{km s}^{-1} \ , \\ \zeta(^{24}\text{Mg}^{2)}) &= 2.6228 \times 10^2\ \text{km s}^{-1} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

In general, if one wants to make more exact calculations on the basis of the future observational data, then Secs. 2 - 4 have to be used. However, the approximation $\kappa = 2$ is a much better approximation to reality than the conventional approach based on the Maxwell-Boltzmann velocity distribution.

5.1 Analytical approach

The aim of this section is to make some analytical calculations. The derived results can immediately shed light on the simple and most important terms in the equation of motion of the IDP under the action of the solar wind. The new results are easily comparable with the standard results used in scientific literature and textbooks during the last century.

5.1.1 Some useful relations

At first, the following expansions can be easily derived:

$$\begin{aligned} q_j &\equiv |\overrightarrow{u} - \overrightarrow{v}|/\zeta(j) \ , \\ q_j &\doteq q_{0j} \times \\ &\quad \left[1 - \frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{v}{u}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}\right)^2\right] \ , \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_j^{-1} &\doteq q_{0j}^{-1} \times \\
&\left[1+\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{v}{u}\right)^2+\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}\right)^2\right] , \\
q_j^{-2} &\doteq q_{0j}^{-2} \times \\
&\left[1+2\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}-\left(\frac{v}{u}\right)^2+4\left(\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}\right)^2\right] , \\
q_j^{-3} &\doteq q_{0j}^{-3} \times \\
&\left[1+3\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}-\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{v}{u}\right)^2+\frac{15}{2}\left(\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}\right)^2\right] , \\
q_j^{-4} &\doteq q_{0j}^{-4} \times \\
&\left[1+4\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}-2\left(\frac{v}{u}\right)^2+12\left(\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}\right)^2\right] , \\
arctg\,(q_j) &\doteq arctg\,(q_{0j})+\frac{q_{0j}}{1+q_{0j}^2}\times \\
&\left[-\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{v}{u}\right)^2-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}\right)^2\right] \\
&-\frac{q_{0j}^3}{\left(1+q_{0j}^2\right)^2}\left(\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}\right)^2 , \\
q_{0j} &\equiv u\ [\zeta(j)]^{-1} . \qquad (39)
\end{aligned}
$$

Eqs. (39) enable to find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_j &= \left(1+\frac{2}{q_j^2}+\frac{1}{q_j^4}\right)arctg\,(q_j)+\frac{1}{q_j}-\frac{1}{q_j^3} \\
&\doteq \left(1+\frac{2}{q_{0j}^2}+\frac{1}{q_{0j}^4}\right)arctg\,(q_{0j})+\frac{1}{q_{0j}}-\frac{1}{q_{0j}^3} \\
&+\left\{\left(1+\frac{1}{q_{0j}^2}\right)\frac{4}{q_{0j}^2}\ arctg\,(q_{0j})+\frac{1}{q_{0j}}-\frac{3}{q_{0j}^3}\right\} \\
&\times\left[\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}-\frac{1}{2}\ \left(\frac{\overrightarrow{v}}{u}\right)^2\right] \\
&-\left(1+\frac{2}{q_{0j}^2}+\frac{1}{q_{0j}^4}\right)\frac{q_{0j}}{1+q_{0j}^2}\ \left[\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}-\frac{1}{2}\ \left(\frac{\overrightarrow{v}}{u}\right)^2\right] \\
&+\left\{\left(2+\frac{3}{q_{0j}^2}\right)\frac{4}{q_{0j}^2}\ arctg\,(q_{0j})+\frac{3}{2}\left(1-\frac{5}{q_{0j}^2}\right)\frac{1}{q_{0j}}\right. \\
&\left.-\frac{4}{q_{0j}^3}\right\}\left(\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}\right)^2-\frac{q_{0j}}{1+q_{0j}^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{q_{0j}^2}{1+q_{0j}^2}\right) \\
&\times\left(1+\frac{2}{q_{0j}^2}+\frac{1}{q_{0j}^4}\right)\left(\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}\right)^2 . \qquad (40)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\overrightarrow{u}-\overrightarrow{v}|(\overrightarrow{u}-\overrightarrow{v}) &\doteq u^2\left[1-\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}+\frac{1}{2}\ \left(\frac{\overrightarrow{v}}{u}\right)^2\right]\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}} \\
&-u^2\ \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}\right)^2\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}} \\
&-u^2\left(1-\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}\right)\frac{\overrightarrow{v}}{u} . \qquad (41)
\end{aligned}
$$

5.1.2 Acceleration - dominant terms

Insertion of Eqs. (40)-(41) into Eqs. (37) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{F} &\doteq \frac{\pi R^2 m_p n_p(r_0)}{2\ m}\ \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^2\ u^2\times\overrightarrow{X}_{Fsw} \\
\overrightarrow{X}_{Fsw} &= \left(\tilde{\eta}_2-\tilde{\eta}_1\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}\right)\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}-\tilde{\eta}_2\ \frac{\overrightarrow{v}}{u} \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\ \tilde{\eta}_1\left(\frac{\overrightarrow{v}}{u}\right)^2\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}+\tilde{\eta}_1\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}\frac{\overrightarrow{v}}{u} \\
&-\frac{1}{2}\ \tilde{\eta}_3\left(\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}\right)^2\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}} , \qquad (42)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have omitted the terms of higher orders in v/u and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\eta}_1 &= -\frac{8}{\pi}\sum_j\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{q_{0j}^2}\right)\frac{4}{q_{0j}^2}\ arctg\,(q_{0j})+\frac{1}{q_{0j}}-\frac{3}{q_{0j}^3}\right] \\
&\times\frac{n_j}{n_p}\ \frac{m_j}{m_p} \\
&+\frac{8}{\pi}\sum_j\left(1+\frac{2}{q_{0j}^2}+\frac{1}{q_{0j}^4}\right)\frac{q_{0j}}{1+q_{0j}^2}\ \frac{n_j}{n_p}\ \frac{m_j}{m_p}\ +\ \tilde{\eta}_2 , \\
\tilde{\eta}_2 &= \sum_j\left[\alpha_{sw}\,(1-\delta_{jp})+0.3\ \delta_{jp}\right]\ \frac{n_j}{n_p}\ \frac{m_j}{m_p}+\frac{8}{\pi}\times \\
&\sum_j\left[\left(1+\frac{2}{q_{0j}^2}+\frac{1}{q_{0j}^4}\right)arctg\,(q_{0j})+\frac{1}{q_{0j}}-\frac{1}{q_{0j}^3}\right] \\
&\times\frac{n_j}{n_p}\ \frac{m_j}{m_p} , \\
\tilde{\eta}_3 &= -\frac{64}{\pi}\sum_j\left(2+\frac{3}{q_{0j}^2}\right)\frac{1}{q_{0j}^2}\ arctg\,(q_{0j})\,\frac{n_j}{n_p}\ \frac{m_j}{m_p} \\
&+\frac{16}{\pi}\sum_j\left(1+\frac{2}{q_{0j}^2}+\frac{1}{q_{0j}^4}\right)\frac{q_{0j}^3}{\left(1+q_{0j}^2\right)^2}\ \frac{n_j}{n_p}\ \frac{m_j}{m_p} \\
&+\frac{16}{\pi}\sum_j\frac{12+11q_{0j}^2-q_{0j}^4}{q_{0j}^3\left(1+q_{0j}^2\right)}\frac{n_j}{n_p}\frac{m_j}{m_p}+3\tilde{\eta}_2-2\tilde{\eta}_1 , \\
q_{0j} &\equiv u\ [\zeta(j)]^{-1} . \qquad (43)
\end{aligned}
$$

One can use the values in Tables 1 - 4, see also Eqs. (38). Finally, (42)-(43) lead to the acceleration of the IDP due to the solar wind

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{a}_{sw} &\doteq \frac{\beta}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2}\times\overrightarrow{X}_{asw} \\
\overrightarrow{X}_{asw} &= \left(\eta_2\ \frac{u}{c}\ -\ \eta_1\ \frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{c}\right)\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}\ -\ \eta_2\ \frac{\overrightarrow{v}}{c} \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\ \eta_1\ \frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{v}}{u\ c}\ \widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}+\eta_1\ \frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}\frac{\overrightarrow{v}}{c} \\
&-\frac{1}{2}\ \eta_3\ \frac{\left(\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}}\right)^2}{u\ c}\widehat{\overrightarrow{u}} , \qquad (44)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we introduced the dimensionless quantities (see also Klačka 2008a, 2008b, Klačka et al. 2009)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta &= \frac{L_\odot\ R^2\ \overline{Q}'_{pr}}{4GM_\odot mc} , \\
\eta_j &= \frac{c^2 m_p u n_p(r_0)}{\xi\ S_0}\tilde{\eta}_j \equiv \frac{c^2\ m_p\ u\ n_p(r_0)}{\xi\,[L_\odot/\,(4\pi\ r_0^2)]}\ \tilde{\eta}_j\ ,\ j=1,2,3 , \\
\xi &= 2 . \qquad (45)
\end{aligned}
$$

$L_\odot$ is the rate of energy outflow from the Sun, the solar luminosity, R is the radius of the IDP, m its mass, $\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}$ is the dimensionless efficiency factor of the radiation pressure averaged over the solar spectrum, G is the gravitational constant, $M_\odot$ is the mass of the Sun, c is the speed of light in vacuum m_p is the proton mass, n_p is the proton concentration in the solar wind and $S_0 = 1.366 \times 10^3\ W\ m^{-2}$ denotes the solar electromagnetic flux. Numerically,

$$\beta \;=\; 5.760 \times 10^2 \frac{\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}{R(\mu m)\rho(kg\ m^{-3})}\ , \qquad (46)$$

where R and ρ are radius and mass density of the homogeneous spherical IDP, and,

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_1 &\doteq 1.0\ , \quad \text{Hundhausen data}\ ,\\
\eta_1 &\doteq 1.3\ , \quad \text{Zirker data}\ ,\\
\eta_2 &\doteq 1.2\ , \quad \text{Hundhausen data}\ ,\\
\eta_2 &\doteq 1.6\ , \quad \text{Zirker data}\ ,\\
\eta_3 &\doteq 0.9\ , \quad \text{Hundhausen data}\ ,\\
\eta_3 &\doteq 1.2\ , \quad \text{Zirker data}\ . \qquad (47)
\end{aligned}$$

The error is about 0.1. In reality, the values presented in Eqs. (47) are mean values. Time variability may be considered in the equation of motion.

On the basis of Eqs. (47) we can conclude that $\eta_2 > \eta_1 > \eta_3$, and, approximately,

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_{10} &\doteq 1.1\ ,\\
\eta_{20} &\doteq 1.4\ ,\\
\eta_{30} &\doteq 1.0\ , \qquad (48)
\end{aligned}$$

where the index 0 denotes the time mean value. We used $\eta_{j0} \doteq [\eta_j(Hundhausen) + \eta_j(Zirker)]\ /\ 2$, $j = 1, 2, 3$.

It can be verified that the values presented in Eq. (48) hold not only for $\kappa = 2$, but also for $\kappa = 4$. We can summarize that the results given by Eq. (48) hold for $2 \leqslant \kappa < 5$. In any case, the observed properties of the solar wind are characterized by the values collected in Eq. (48).

If we take into account solar cycle, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_1 &= \eta_{10}\,(1 - \eta_{1A}\ \delta\ \cos\varphi)\ ,\\
\eta_2 &= \eta_{20}\,(1 - \eta_{2A}\ \delta\ \cos\varphi)\ ,\\
\eta_3 &= \eta_{30}\,(1 - \eta_{3A}\ \delta\ \cos\varphi)\ , \qquad (49)
\end{aligned}$$

where Eqs. (37)-(38) are used, the mean values are given by Eqs. (48) and the amplitudes, describing an importance of the oscillations due to the existence of the solar cycle, are

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_{1A} &\doteq 1.9\ ,\\
\eta_{2A} &\doteq 2.2\ ,\\
\eta_{3A} &\doteq 1.8\ . \qquad (50)
\end{aligned}$$

If we want to take into account the change of the solar wind properties due to the existence of the solar cycle, then we may be interested in the contribution of the variable component in comparison with the mean value of the accelerations. The amplitude of the dominant part of the variable solar wind acceleration is proportional to $(\eta_{20}/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr})\eta_{2A}\delta$, since the transversal component is more relevant than the radial component. The mean acceleration is proportional to $(1 + \eta_{20}/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr})$. The ratio $(\eta_{20}/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr})\eta_{2A}\delta/(1 + \eta_{20}/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr})$ is presented in Table 5, for several combinations of the values of the physical parameters η_{20}, η_{2A} and $\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}$ (time variation was considered in Klačka et al. 2012, so the corresponding values $\eta_{20} = 0.38$ and $\eta_{2A} = 2.0$ are taken as reference values; $\delta = 0.15$). The greater the value of η_{20}, the greater is the importance of the variable solar wind component, for a given value of $\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}$. In general, $(\eta_{20}/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr})\eta_{2A}\delta/(1 + \eta_{20}/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}) < \eta_{2A}\delta = 0.33$, since $\eta_{2A} = 2.2$ and $\delta = 0.15$.

η_{20}	η_{2A}	$\eta_{20}\eta_{2A}\delta/(\eta_{20} + \overline{Q}\,'_{pr})$		
		$\overline{Q}\,'_{pr} = 1/2$	$\overline{Q}\,'_{pr} = 1$	$\overline{Q}\,'_{pr} = 2$
0.38	2.0	0.130	0.083	0.048
1.40	2.2	0.243	0.193	0.136

Table 5. The ratio of the amplitude of the variable solar wind acceleration to the mean value of the acceleration, i.e., $\eta_{20}\eta_{2A}\delta/(\eta_{20} + \overline{Q}\,'_{pr})$, for several values of the constants η_{20} and $\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}$.

If one would take into account that $n_i = n_{i0}\ (1 - \delta\cos\varphi)$, $i = p,\ \alpha,\ e$, and, $\delta \in \langle\ 0.14,\ 0.15\ \rangle$, φ changes in $2\ \pi$ within a solar cycle (Klačka et al. 2012), then the ratios between the Zirker and the Hundhausen data correspond to, approximately, $(1 + \delta)\ /\ (1 - \delta)$. This would indicate that the Zirker data hold for the solar cycle minimum and the Hundhausen data hold for the solar cycle maximum. The Zirker and the Hundhausen data show that although the $n_i = n_{i0}\ (1 - \delta\cos\varphi)$ holds for solar wind particles, the speed of the particles is practically constant, independent on the solar cycle: $u = u_0 \in \langle 450, 468\rangle$ km s^{-1}. One may use the value 450 km s^{-1}, or, the mean value 460 km s^{-1}. However, other observational data suggest $u = u_0\ (1 - \delta\cos\varphi)$ (e.g., Banaszkiewicz et al. 1994).

5.1.3 Solar radiation and equation of motion of IDP

Equation of motion of the spherical IDP under the action of the solar electromagnetic and corpuscular radiation is

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\overrightarrow{v}}{dt} \;\doteq\; & \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2}\left(\overrightarrow{e}_R + \frac{\eta_2}{\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}\ \frac{u}{c}\ \hat{\overrightarrow{u}}\right)\\
& - \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2}\left(\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{e}_R}{c}\ \overrightarrow{e}_R\ +\ \frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}\ \frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\hat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{c}\ \hat{\overrightarrow{u}}\right)\\
& - \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2}\left(1\ +\ \frac{\eta_2}{\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}\right)\frac{\overrightarrow{v}}{c}\\
& + \beta\frac{GM_\odot}{r^2}\left[\frac{1}{2}\frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{v}}{u\ c}\hat{\overrightarrow{u}} + \frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\ \frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\hat{\overrightarrow{u}}}{u}\frac{\overrightarrow{v}}{c}\right]\\
& - \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2}\frac{1}{2}\ \frac{\eta_3}{\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}\ \frac{\left(\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\hat{\overrightarrow{u}}\right)^2}{u\ c}\ \hat{\overrightarrow{u}}\ , \qquad (51)
\end{aligned}$$

where Eq. (44) is used, and, see Eqs. (37),

$$\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{u} &= u\hat{\overrightarrow{u}}\ ,\\
u &= u_0(1 - \delta\cos\varphi)\ ,\\
\delta &= 0.15\ ,\\
\varphi &= 2\pi\ \frac{t - t_r - t_{max}}{T}\ ,\quad t_r \doteq \frac{r}{2u_0}\ ,\quad T = 11.1\ yr\ ,\\
\hat{\overrightarrow{u}} &= \gamma_R\ \overrightarrow{e}_R\ +\ \gamma_T\ \hat{\overrightarrow{u}}_T\ ,\\
\gamma_R &= \cos\varepsilon\ ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_T &= \sin\varepsilon \ , \\
\varepsilon &\in \langle 2^\circ, 3^\circ \rangle \ , \\
\overrightarrow{e}_R &= \overrightarrow{r}/|\overrightarrow{r}| \equiv \overrightarrow{r}/r \ , \\
\hat{\overrightarrow{u}}_T &= \hat{\overrightarrow{\omega}} \times \overrightarrow{e}_R / |\hat{\overrightarrow{\omega}} \times \overrightarrow{e}_R| \ , \\
\hat{\overrightarrow{\omega}} &= (\sin\Omega_S \ \sin i_S, - \ \cos\Omega_S \ \sin i_S, \cos i_S) \ , \\
u_0 &= 450 \ km \ s^{-1} \ , \\
i_S &= 7^\circ 15' \ , \ \ \Omega_S = 73^\circ 40' + 50.25''(t[yr] - 1850) \quad (52)
\end{aligned}
$$

in ecliptic coordinates, and, see Eqs. (46), (48), (49), (50),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta &= 5.760 \times 10^2 \frac{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}{R(\mu m)\rho(kg \ m^{-3})} \ , \\
\eta_1 &= \eta_{10} \, (1 - \eta_{1A} \ \delta \ \cos\varphi) \ , \\
\eta_2 &= \eta_{20} \, (1 - \eta_{2A} \ \delta \ \cos\varphi) \ , \\
\eta_3 &= \eta_{30} \, (1 - \eta_{3A} \ \delta \ \cos\varphi) \ , \\
\eta_{10} &\doteq 1.1 \ , \\
\eta_{20} &\doteq 1.4 \ , \\
\eta_{30} &\doteq 1.0 \ , \\
\eta_{1A} &\doteq 1.9 \ , \\
\eta_{2A} &\doteq 2.2 \ , \\
\eta_{3A} &\doteq 1.8 \ . \qquad (53)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the approximation $\hat{\overrightarrow{u}} \doteq \overrightarrow{e}_R$, Eq. (51) reduces to the following simple form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\overrightarrow{v}}{dt} &\doteq \beta \ \frac{G \ M_\odot}{r^2} \times \\
&\left[1 + \frac{\eta_2}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}} \ \frac{u}{c} \ - \ \left(1 + \frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}} \right) \frac{\overrightarrow{v} \cdot \overrightarrow{e}_R}{c} \right] \overrightarrow{e}_R \\
&+ \beta \ \frac{G \ M_\odot}{r^2} \frac{1}{2} \times \\
&\left[\frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}} \ \frac{\overrightarrow{v} \cdot \overrightarrow{v}}{u \ c} - \frac{\eta_3}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}} \ \frac{\left(\overrightarrow{v} \cdot \hat{\overrightarrow{e}}_R \right)^2}{u \ c} \right] \hat{\overrightarrow{e}}_R \\
&- \beta \ \frac{G \ M_\odot}{r^2} \times \\
&\left(1 \ + \ \frac{\eta_2}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}} - \frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}} \ \frac{\overrightarrow{v} \cdot \hat{\overrightarrow{e}}_R}{u} \right) \frac{\overrightarrow{v}}{c} \ . \qquad (54)
\end{aligned}
$$

The term $(\eta_2/\overline{Q}'_{pr})u/c$ cannot be neglected, in general. It can be neglected for the constant solar wind, since its value is small in comparison with 1. However, for the (time-)variable solar wind, the variable term can be dominant with respect to other variable terms caused by the solar wind (see also Klačka et al. 2012).

5.1.4 Discussion

If one would like to use the fact that the solar wind speed depends on the phase of the solar cycle (Banaszkiewicz et al. 1994, Klačka et al. 2012), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
u &= u_0(1 - \delta\cos\varphi) \ , \\
\varphi &= 2\pi \ \frac{t - t_r - t_{max}}{T} \ , \\
t_r &\doteq \frac{r}{2u_0} \ , \ \ T = 11.1 \ yr \ , \\
u_0 &= (450 - 470) \ km \ s^{-1} \ , \qquad (55)
\end{aligned}
$$

can be used. We remind that the quantity t_{max} is the instant of the solar cycle maximum. Eqs. (53) hold in this case.

If the solar wind speed would not depend on the phase of the solar cycle, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
u &= u_0 \ , \\
\varphi &= 2\pi \ \frac{t - t_r - t_{max}}{T} \ , \\
t_r &= \frac{r}{u_0} \ , \ \ T = 11.1 \ yr \ , \\
u_0 &= (450 - 470) \ km \ s^{-1} \ . \qquad (56)
\end{aligned}
$$

should be used. Moreover, Eqs. (53) have to be replaced by the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta &= 5.760 \times 10^2 \frac{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}{R(\mu m)\rho(kg \ m^{-3})} \ , \\
\eta_1 &= \eta_{10} \, (1 - \eta_{1A} \ \delta \ \cos\varphi) \ , \\
\eta_2 &= \eta_{20} \, (1 - \eta_{2A} \ \delta \ \cos\varphi) \ , \\
\eta_3 &= \eta_{30} \, (1 - \eta_{3A} \ \delta \ \cos\varphi) \ , \\
\eta_{10} &\doteq 1.1 \ , \\
\eta_{20} &\doteq 1.4 \ , \\
\eta_{30} &\doteq 1.0 \ , \\
\eta_{1A} &= 1.0 \ , \\
\eta_{2A} &= 1.0 \ , \\
\eta_{3A} &= 1.0 \ . \qquad (57)
\end{aligned}
$$

5.1.5 Secular orbital evolution

In order to better understand the action of the solar wind, we will neglect time variability of the wind, i.e. we will put $\delta = 0$ in Eqs. (37). This assumption enables us to make some simple analytical calculations. We will use Eqs. (51) with the approximation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\overrightarrow{u}} &= \overrightarrow{e}_R \ + \ \gamma_T \ \overrightarrow{e}_T \ , \\
\gamma_T &= \frac{\hat{\overrightarrow{\omega}} \times \overrightarrow{e}_R}{|\hat{\overrightarrow{\omega}} \times \overrightarrow{e}_R|} \cdot \overrightarrow{e}_T \ \sin\varepsilon \ , \\
\hat{\overrightarrow{\omega}} &= (0, 0, 1) \ , \\
\overrightarrow{e}_T &= \frac{\overrightarrow{v} - (\overrightarrow{v} \cdot \overrightarrow{e}_R) \, \overrightarrow{e}_R}{|\overrightarrow{v} - (\overrightarrow{v} \cdot \overrightarrow{e}_R) \, \overrightarrow{e}_R|} \ , \\
\varepsilon &\in \langle 2^\circ, 3^\circ \rangle \ . \qquad (58)
\end{aligned}
$$

The vector $\hat{\overrightarrow{\omega}}$ can be used in ecliptic coordinates, approximately, see Eqs. (37).

Let us consider motion of a spherical IDP in the gravitational field of the Sun and under the action of the solar radiation. On the basis of Eqs. (51) and (58) we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\overrightarrow{v}}{dt} &\doteq - \ \frac{G \ M_\odot}{r^2} \ \overrightarrow{e}_R \\
&+ \beta \ \frac{G \ M_\odot}{r^2} \left[1 \ - \ \left(1 \ + \ \frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}} \right) \frac{\overrightarrow{v} \cdot \overrightarrow{e}_R}{c} \right] \overrightarrow{e}_R \\
&- \beta \ \frac{G \ M_\odot}{r^2} \ \gamma_T \ \frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}} \frac{\overrightarrow{v} \cdot \overrightarrow{e}_T}{c} \ \overrightarrow{e}_R \\
&+ \beta \ \frac{G \ M_\odot}{r^2} \ \gamma_T \left(\frac{\eta_2}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}} \frac{u}{c} - \frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}} \frac{\overrightarrow{v} \cdot \overrightarrow{e}_R}{c} \right) \overrightarrow{e}_T \\
&- \beta \ \frac{G \ M_\odot}{r^2} \left(1 \ + \ \frac{\eta_2}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}} \right) \frac{\overrightarrow{v}}{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2} \frac{1}{2}\ \frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\ \frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{v}}{u\ c}\ \overrightarrow{e}_R \\
&- \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2} \frac{1}{2}\ \frac{\eta_3}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\ \frac{\left(\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{e}_R\right)^2}{u\ c}\ \overrightarrow{e}_R \\
&- \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2}\ \frac{\eta_3}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}} \gamma_T \frac{\left(\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{e}_R\right)\left(\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{e}_T\right)}{u\ c}\ \overrightarrow{e}_R \\
&+ \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2}\ \gamma_T\ \frac{1}{2}\ \frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\ \frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{v}}{u\ c}\ \overrightarrow{e}_T \\
&- \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2}\ \gamma_T\ \frac{1}{2}\ \frac{\eta_3}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\ \frac{\left(\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{e}_R\right)^2}{u\ c}\ \overrightarrow{e}_T \\
&+ \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2} \frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}} \\
&\times \left(\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{e}_R}{u} + \gamma_T \frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{e}_T}{u}\right) \frac{\overrightarrow{v}}{c}\ , \qquad (59)
\end{aligned}
$$

if the terms containing γ_T^2 are neglected, or,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\overrightarrow{v}}{dt}\ &\doteq\ -\ \frac{G\ M_\odot\left(1-\beta\right)}{r^2}\ \overrightarrow{e}_R\ -\ \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2} \times \\
&\left[\left(1+\frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\right)\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{e}_R}{c} + \gamma_T \frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}} \frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{e}_T}{c}\right]\overrightarrow{e}_R \\
&+ \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2}\ \gamma_T \left(\frac{\eta_2}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\frac{u}{c} - \frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{e}_R}{c}\right)\overrightarrow{e}_T \\
&- \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2}\left(1\ +\ \frac{\eta_2}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\right)\frac{\overrightarrow{v}}{c} \\
&+ \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2}\frac{1}{2}\ \frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\ \frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{v}}{u\ c}\ \overrightarrow{e}_R \\
&- \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2}\frac{1}{2}\ \frac{\eta_3}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}} \times \\
&\left[\frac{\left(\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{e}_R\right)^2}{u\ c} + 2\gamma_T \frac{\left(\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{e}_R\right)\left(\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{e}_T\right)}{u\ c}\right]\overrightarrow{e}_R \\
&+ \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2}\ \gamma_T\ \frac{1}{2} \\
&\times \left[\frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\ \frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{v}}{u\ c}\ -\ \frac{\eta_3}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\ \frac{\left(\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{e}_R\right)^2}{u\ c}\right]\ \overrightarrow{e}_T \\
&+ \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2}\frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}} \times \\
&\left(\frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{e}_R}{u} + \gamma_T\ \frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{e}_T}{u}\right)\frac{\overrightarrow{v}}{c}\ . \qquad (60)
\end{aligned}
$$

We will not deal with secular evolution of the IDP if the central acceleration is given by the gravity of the Sun. This can be done on the basis of Eq. (59) and Sec. 6.2 in Klačka (2004). Our approach will concentrate on the case when the central acceleration is given both by the gravity of the Sun and the dominant part of the radiation pressure, as it is given by the first part on the right-hand side of Eq. (60). The orbital elements referring to the central acceleration $-\left[G\ M_\odot\left(1-\beta\right)/r^2\right]\overrightarrow{e}_R$ will be characterized by the subscript β, to be consistent with Klačka (2004).

Eq. (60) yields the following radial and transversal components (normal component equals to 0) of the perturbation acceleration to Keplerian motion:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\beta R}\ &=\ -\beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2} \times \\
&\left[\left(2+\frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}+\frac{\eta_2}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\right)\frac{v_R}{c} + \gamma_T\ \frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\frac{v_T}{c}\right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\ \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2} \times \\
&\left[\frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\frac{v_R^2+v_T^2}{u\ c} - \frac{\eta_3}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\left(\frac{v_R^2}{u\ c} + 2\gamma_T\frac{v_R v_T}{u\ c}\right)\right] \\
&+ \frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\ \left(\frac{v_R}{u} + \gamma_T\ \frac{v_T}{u}\right)\frac{v_R}{c}\ \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2}\ , \\
F_{\beta T}\ &=\ -\ \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2} \times \\
&\left[\left(1+\frac{\eta_2}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\right)\frac{v_T}{c} - \gamma_T\left(\frac{\eta_2}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\frac{u}{c} - \frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\frac{v_R}{c}\right)\right] \\
&+ \gamma_T \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\frac{v_R^2+v_T^2}{u\ c} - \frac{\eta_3}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\frac{v_R^2}{u\ c}\right)\beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2} \\
&+ \frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\ \left(\frac{v_R}{u} + \gamma_T\ \frac{v_T}{u}\right)\frac{v_T}{c}\ \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2}\ , \\
v_R\ &\equiv\ \overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{e}_R = \sqrt{\frac{G\ M_\odot\left(1-\beta\right)}{p_\beta}}\ e_\beta\ \sin f_\beta\ , \\
v_T\ &\equiv\ \overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{e}_T = \sqrt{\frac{G\ M_\odot\left(1-\beta\right)}{p_\beta}}\ \left(1+e_\beta\ \cos f_\beta\right)\ , \\
r\ &=\ p_\beta/\left(1+e_\beta\ \cos f_\beta\right)\ , \qquad (61)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p_\beta = a_\beta(1-e_\beta^2)$ is the semi-latus rectum, a_β the semi-major axis, e_β the osculating eccentricity of the orbit and f_β is the true anomaly. Inserting Eqs. (61) into perturbation equations of celestial mechanics for a_β, e_β and longitude of perihelion ω_β,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{da_\beta}{dt}\ &=\ \frac{2a_\beta}{1-e_\beta^2}\ \sqrt{\frac{p_\beta}{G\ M_\odot\left(1-\beta\right)}} \times \\
&\left[F_{\beta R}\ e_\beta\ \sin f_\beta + F_{\beta T}\left(1+e_\beta\ \cos f_\beta\right)\right]\ , \\
\frac{de_\beta}{dt}\ &=\ \sqrt{\frac{p_\beta}{G\ M_\odot\left(1-\beta\right)}} \times \\
&\left[F_{\beta R}\sin f_\beta + F_{\beta T}\left(\cos f_\beta + \frac{e_\beta+\cos f_\beta}{1+e_\beta\cos f_\beta}\right)\right]\ , \\
\frac{d\omega_\beta}{dt}\ &=\ -\ \frac{1}{e_\beta}\ \sqrt{\frac{p_\beta}{G\ M_\odot\left(1-\beta\right)}} \times \\
&\left[F_{\beta R}\ \cos f_\beta - F_{\beta T}\frac{2+e_\beta\ \cos f_\beta}{1+e_\beta\ \cos f_\beta}\ \sin f_\beta\right]\ , \\
p_\beta\ &=\ a_\beta\left(1-e_\beta^2\right)\ , \qquad (62)
\end{aligned}
$$

neglecting change of the mass of the IDP and its optical properties, and, using time averaging (approximation of the exact averaging given by Eq. 103 in Klačka 1992a)

$$
\langle g\rangle = \frac{1}{a_\beta^2\sqrt{1-e_\beta^2}}\ \frac{1}{2\pi}\ \int_0^{2\pi} g\left(f_\beta\right) r^2 df_\beta\ , \qquad (63)
$$

one can easily obtain the secular evolution of a_β and e_β ($g \rightarrow da_\beta/dt$, de_β/dt and omitting the brackets $\langle\rangle$):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{da_\beta}{dt}\ &=\ -\ \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{c} \times \\
&\frac{2\left(1+\eta_2/\overline{Q}'_{pr}\right) + \left(3+\eta_1/\overline{Q}'_{pr}+2\eta_2/\overline{Q}'_{pr}\right)e_\beta^2}{a_\beta\left(1-e_\beta^2\right)^{3/2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ 2\ \gamma_T\ \frac{\eta_2}{\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}\ \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{c}\frac{u/\sqrt{G\ M_\odot\,(1-\beta)\,/p_\beta}}{a_\beta\left(1-e_\beta^2\right)^{3/2}}\\
&- 3\ \gamma_T\ \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{c}\frac{\sqrt{G\ M_\odot\,(1-\beta)\,/p_\beta}\ /\ u}{a_\beta\left(1-e_\beta^2\right)^{3/2}}\\
&\times\left[\frac{1}{2}\ \frac{\eta_3}{\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}\ e_\beta^2-\frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}\left(1+2e_\beta^2\right)\right]\ ,\\
\frac{de_\beta}{dt} &= -\ \frac{5+\eta_1/\overline{Q}'_{pr}+4\ \eta_2/\overline{Q}'_{pr}}{2}\ \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{c}\\
&\times\frac{e_\beta}{a_\beta^2\ \sqrt{1-e_\beta^2}}\\
&+\ \gamma_T\ \frac{\eta_2}{\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}\ \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{c}\frac{u}{\sqrt{G\ M_\odot\,(1-\beta)\,/p_\beta}}\\
&\times\frac{1-\sqrt{1-e_\beta^2}}{a_\beta^2\ e_\beta\ \sqrt{1-e_\beta^2}}\\
&+\ \gamma_T\ \beta\ \frac{GM_\odot}{c}\frac{\sqrt{GM_\odot\,(1-\beta)\,/p_\beta}/u}{a_\beta^2\sqrt{1-e_\beta^2}}\times X_{e\beta}\ ,\\
X_{e\beta} &= \left(\frac{15}{4}\frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}-\frac{\eta_3}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\right)e_\beta\\
&+\ \frac{\eta_1-\eta_3}{4\ \overline{Q}'_{pr}}\left[1-2\frac{\left(1-\sqrt{1-e_\beta^2}\right)\left(1-e_\beta^2\right)}{e_\beta^2}\right]\frac{1}{e_\beta}\ ,\\
\frac{d\omega_\beta}{dt} &= -\ \frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\ \beta\frac{GM_\odot}{c}\frac{1}{a_\beta^2\ \sqrt{1-e_\beta^2}}\\
&\times\left[\gamma_T\frac{1-\sqrt{1-e_\beta^2}}{e_\beta^2}-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\sqrt{GM_\odot\,(1-\beta)\,/p_\beta}}{u}\right]\ ,\\
p_\beta &= a_\beta\left(1-e_\beta^2\right)\ . \qquad (64)
\end{aligned}
$$

Initial conditions can be found in Sec. 6.1 in Klačka (2004) and will not be repeated here.

Eqs. (64) show that a systematic secular decrease of semi-major axis and eccentricity exists, if the particle is not ejected from the Solar System due to the radiation pressure, for the case $\gamma_T \equiv 0$. However, the case $\gamma_T \neq 0$ for prograde orbits is more interesting. The first of Eqs. (64) enables also $da_\beta/dt > 0$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_\beta[AU] &> (1-\beta)\left(\frac{v_E/u}{2\ \gamma_T\ \eta_2/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}\right)^2\times\frac{X_{a\beta 0}^2}{1-e_\beta^2}\ ,\\
X_{a\beta 0} &\equiv 2\left(1+\eta_2/\overline{Q}'_{pr}\right)\\
&+\left(3+\eta_1/\overline{Q}'_{pr}+2\eta_2/\overline{Q}'_{pr}\right)e_\beta^2\ ,\\
\frac{da_\beta}{dt} &> 0\ , \qquad (65)
\end{aligned}
$$

or, numerically,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_\beta[AU] &> \frac{1-\beta}{4.834}\ \frac{\left(4.8+6.9\ e_\beta^2\right)^2}{1-e_\beta^2}\ ,\\
&\frac{da_\beta}{dt} > 0\ , \qquad (66)
\end{aligned}
$$

if Eqs. (48) are used for η_1, η_2, $u = 450\ km\ s^{-1}$, $v_E = 29.8\ km\ s^{-1}$, $\gamma_T = 0.052$, and, $\overline{Q}\,'_{pr} = 1$. As an example we can mention that $da_\beta/dt > 0$ can be fulfilled already for $a_\beta >$ 4.8 AU for $e_\beta \to 0$. Similarly, the second of Eqs. (64) enables also $de_\beta/dt > 0$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_\beta[AU] &> (1-\beta)\left(\frac{v_E/u}{2\ \gamma_T\ \eta_2/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}\right)^2\\
&\times\left[\frac{\left(5+\eta_1/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}+4\ \eta_2/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}\right)e_\beta^2}{\sqrt{1-e_\beta^2}-1+e_\beta^2}\right]^2\ ,\\
\frac{de_\beta}{dt} &> 0\ , \qquad (67)
\end{aligned}
$$

or, numerically,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_\beta[AU] &> \frac{1-\beta}{4.834}\ \left(\frac{11.7\ e_\beta^2}{\sqrt{1-e_\beta^2}-1+e_\beta^2}\right)^2\ ,\\
&\frac{de_\beta}{dt} > 0\ , \qquad (68)
\end{aligned}
$$

if Eqs. (48) are used for η_1, η_2, $u = 450\ km\ s^{-1}$, $v_E = 29.8\ km\ s^{-1}$, $\gamma_T = 0.052$, and, $\overline{Q}\,'_{pr} = 1$. The case $de_\beta/dt > 0$ does not realize since, e.g., $a_\beta > 113.2\ AU$ for $e_\beta \to 0$ and $a_\beta > 237.9\ AU$ for $e_\beta = 0.85$. The solar wind does not act at distances greater than about (100-150) AU and, moreover, the action of fast interstellar gas flow plays an important role at distances greater than about 20 AU (Pástor et al. 2011).

Eqs. (64) can be partially analytically integrated for the case $\gamma_T \equiv 0$. The semi-latus rectum $p_\beta = a_\beta(1-e_\beta^2)$ and Eqs. (64) yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{dp_\beta}{dt} &= -\ 2\ \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{c}\ \left(1+\frac{\eta_2}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\right)\ \frac{\left(1-e_\beta^2\right)^{3/2}}{p_\beta}\ ,\\
\frac{de_\beta}{dt} &= -\ \frac{5+\eta_1/\overline{Q}'_{pr}+4\eta_2/\overline{Q}'_{pr}}{2}\\
&\times\beta\ \frac{GM_\odot}{c}\ \frac{e_\beta\left(1-e_\beta^2\right)^{3/2}}{p_\beta^2}\ . \qquad (69)
\end{aligned}
$$

It can be easily verified that Eqs. (69) give

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_\beta &= p_{\beta in}\ \left(\frac{e_\beta}{e_{\beta in}}\right)^{\alpha_w}\ ,\\
\alpha_w &= \frac{4\left(1+\eta_2/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}\right)}{5+\eta_1/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}+4\ \eta_2/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}\ , \qquad (70)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p_{\beta in}$ and $e_{\beta in}$ are initial values of the semi-latus rectum and the eccentricity.

Eqs. (70) and the second of Eqs. (69) yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{de_\beta}{dt} &= -\ \frac{5+\eta_1/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}+4\ \eta_2/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}{2}\ \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{c}\\
&\times\frac{(e_{\beta in})^{2\alpha_w}}{(p_{\beta in})^2}\ \frac{\left(1-e_\beta^2\right)^{3/2}}{e_\beta^{2\alpha_w-1}}\ . \qquad (71)
\end{aligned}
$$

Eq. (71) shows that the eccentricity is a decreasing function of time and the value $e_\beta = 0$ occurs at a finite time. Eqs. (70) show that $p_\beta = a_\beta = 0$ at the same finite time. The time of spiralling toward the Sun from some initial values $e_{\beta in}$ and $p_{\beta in}$ to values e_β and p_β is

$$
\tau(e_{\beta in};e_\beta;\eta_1;\eta_2) = -\ \frac{2}{5+\eta_1/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}+4\ \eta_2/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}
$$

$$\times \left(\beta \, \frac{G \, M_\odot}{c}\right)^{-1} \frac{(p_{\beta in})^2}{(e_{\beta in})^{2\alpha_w}}$$
$$\times \int_{e_{\beta in}}^{e_\beta} \frac{x^{2\alpha_w - \, 1}}{(1-x^2)^{3/2}} \, \mathrm{d}x \; ,$$
$$p_{\beta in} = a_{\beta in}\left(1 - e_{\beta in}^2\right) \; ,$$
$$\alpha_w = \frac{4\left(1 + \eta_2/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}\right)}{5 + \eta_1/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr} + 4\,\eta_2/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}} \; . \qquad (72)$$

The conventional case can be obtained from Eqs. (70) and (72):

$$\tau(e_{\beta in}; e_\beta; \eta_0; \eta_0) = -\frac{2}{5}\left[\beta\left(1 + \frac{\eta_0}{\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}\right) \frac{G \, M_\odot}{c}\right]^{-1}$$
$$\times \frac{(p_{\beta in})^2}{(e_{\beta in})^{8/5}} \int_{e_{\beta in}}^{e_\beta} \frac{x^{3/5}}{(1-x^2)^{3/2}} \, \mathrm{d}x \; ,$$
$$p_\beta = p_{\beta in}\left(\frac{e_\beta}{e_{\beta in}}\right)^{4/5} \; ,$$
$$\eta_0 = 0.3 \; , \qquad (73)$$

where the conventional value of η_0 is added. Eqs. (72)-(73) yield

$$\frac{\tau(e_{\beta in}; e_\beta; \eta_1; \eta_2)}{\tau(e_{\beta in}; e_\beta; \eta_0; \eta_0)} = \frac{5\left(1 + \eta_0/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}\right)}{5 + (\eta_1 + 4\,\eta_2)/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}$$
$$\times (e_{\beta in})^{8/5 - \, 2\alpha_w} \, \frac{X_{\tau 12}}{X_{\tau 00}} \; ,$$
$$X_{\tau 12} \equiv \int_{e_{\beta in}}^{e_\beta} \frac{x^{2\alpha_w - \, 1}}{(1-x^2)^{3/2}} \, \mathrm{d}x \; ,$$
$$X_{\tau 00} \equiv \int_{e_{\beta in}}^{e_\beta} \frac{x^{3/5}}{(1-x^2)^{3/2}} \, \mathrm{d}x \; . \qquad (74)$$

Eqs. (48), (70), (73) and (74) give the following result: the real time of inspiralling toward the Sun is (0.54-0.56)-multiple of the conventionally considered time for the relative time of inspiralling toward the Sun, from some $e_{\beta in}$ to $e_\beta = 0$, see Table 6.

Eqs. (72) can be rewritten to the form

$$\tau(e_{\beta in}; e_\beta = 0; \eta_1; \eta_2) = \frac{2\,(\beta \; G \; M_\odot/c)^{-1}}{5 + \eta_1/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr} + 4\,\eta_2/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}$$
$$\times (p_{\beta in})^2 \; \tau_{TAB}(e_{\beta in}) \; ,$$
$$\eta_1 = 1.1 \; , \quad \eta_2 = 1.4 \; ,$$
$$p_{\beta in} = a_{\beta in}\left(1 - e_{\beta in}^2\right) \; , \qquad (75)$$

where the function $\tau_{TAB}(e_{\beta in})$ is represented by the data collected in Table 7.

5.2 Stars

Our results may be applied to dust dynamics in disks around stars with stellar winds (see, e.g., Strubbe and Chiang 2006, Plavchan et al. 2009).

5.2.1 The time of spiralling toward the central star from an initial orbit

On the basis of Eqs. (45) and (72) we can write for the time of spiralling toward a central star from some initial values $e_{\beta in}$ and $p_{\beta in}$ to values e_β and p_β:

$$\tau(e_{\beta in}; e_\beta; \eta_1; \eta_2) = -\frac{2}{5 \; + \; \eta_1/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr} \; + \; 4\,\eta_2/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}$$
$$\times \left(\beta \, \frac{G \, M_\star}{c}\right)^{-1} \frac{(p_{\beta in})^2}{(e_{\beta in})^{2\alpha_w}}$$
$$\times \int_{e_{\beta in}}^{e_\beta} \frac{x^{2\alpha_w - \, 1}}{(1-x^2)^{3/2}} \, \mathrm{d}x \; ,$$
$$p_{\beta in} = a_{\beta in}\left(1 - e_{\beta in}^2\right) \; ,$$
$$\alpha_w = \frac{4\left(1 + \eta_2/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}\right)}{5 + \eta_1/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr} + 4\,\eta_2/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}} \; , \qquad (76)$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{L_\star \; R^2 \; \overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}{4GM_\star mc} \; ,$$
$$\eta_j = \frac{c^2 \; m_p \; u \; n_p(r_0)}{2 \; S_{\star 0}} \; \tilde{\eta}_j \equiv \frac{c^2 \; m_p \; u \; n_p(r_0)}{2\,[L_\star/\,(4\pi \; r_0^2)]} \; \tilde{\eta}_j \; ,$$
$$j = 1, 2, 3 \; . \qquad (77)$$

$e_{\beta in}$	τ_{real}/τ_{conv}
0.001	0.5417
0.010	0.5417
0.020	0.5417
0.050	0.5417
0.100	0.5417
0.200	0.5419
0.250	0.5420
0.500	0.5431
0.750	0.5454
0.800	0.5462
0.850	0.5471
0.900	0.5484
0.950	0.5502
0.990	0.5529
0.999	0.5547

Table 6. The ratio $\tau_{real}/\tau_{conv} \equiv \tau(e_{\beta in}; 0; \eta_1; \eta_2)/\tau(e_{\beta in}; 0; \eta_0; \eta_0)$ of the times of inspiralling of a spherical IDP toward the Sun. Real time is compared with the conventional approach to the time of inspiralling. Various initial eccentricities $e_{\beta in}$ are considered, $\overline{Q}\,'_{pr} = 1$, $\eta_1 = 1.1$, $\eta_2 = 1.4$, $\eta_0 = 0.3$.

$e_{\beta in}$	$\tau_{TAB}(e_{\beta in})$
0.001	0.6094
0.250	0.6365
0.500	0.7389
0.750	1.0504
0.800	1.1893
0.850	1.4005
0.900	1.7683
0.950	2.6327
0.990	6.4436
0.999	21.6686

Table 7. Values of the function $\tau_{TAB}(e_{\beta in})$ for various initial eccentricities $e_{\beta in}$, see Eqs. (75) describing time of inspiralling toward the Sun due to the action of the solar radiation, both electromagnetic and corpuscular.

Here $L_\star$ is the rate of energy outflow from the star, the stellar luminosity, R is the radius of the IDP, m its mass, $\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}$ is the dimensionless efficiency factor of the radiation pressure averaged over the solar spectrum, G is the gravitational constant, $M_\star$ is the mass of the star, c is the speed of light in vacuum m_p is the proton mass, n_p is the proton concentration in the stellar wind and $S_{\star 0}$ denotes the stellar electromagnetic flux at a distance r_0 from the star ($S_{\odot 0} \equiv S_0 = 1.366 \times 10^3\ W\ m^{-2}$ is the solar electromagnetic flux). As for the homogeneous spherical IDP we have $m = (4\pi/3)\ \rho\ R^3$, where ρ is the mass density of the IDP.

Eq. (76) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{SW}(e_{\beta in}; e_\beta; \eta_1; \eta_2) &= -\frac{2}{\eta_1/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr} + 4\ \eta_2/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}} \\
&\times \left(\beta\ \frac{G\ M_\star}{c}\right)^{-1}\ \frac{(p_{\beta in})^2}{(e_{\beta in})^{2\alpha_{w0}}} \\
&\times \int_{e_{\beta in}}^{e_\beta} \frac{x^{2\alpha_{w0}-\ 1}}{(1-x^2)^{3/2}}\ \mathrm{d}x\ , \\
p_{\beta in} &= a_{\beta in}\left(1 - e_{\beta in}^2\right)\ , \\
\alpha_{w0} &= \frac{4\ \eta_2}{\eta_1 + 4\ \eta_2}\ , \qquad (78)
\end{aligned}$$

for the stellar wind, i.e., corpuscular radiation, and,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_E(e_{\beta in}; e_\beta) &= -\frac{2}{5}\ \left(\beta\ \frac{G\ M_\star}{c}\right)^{-1} \\
&\times \frac{(p_{\beta in})^2}{(e_{\beta in})^{8/5}}\ \int_{e_{\beta in}}^{e_\beta} \frac{x^{3/5}}{(1-x^2)^{3/2}}\ \mathrm{d}x\ , \\
p_{\beta in} &= a_{\beta in}\left(1 - e_{\beta in}^2\right)\ , \qquad (79)
\end{aligned}$$

for the electromagnetic radiation of the star.

Eqs. (78) and (79) lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\tau_{SW}(e_{\beta in}; e_\beta; \eta_1; \eta_2)}{\tau_E(e_{\beta in}; e_\beta)} &= \frac{5}{\eta_1/\overline{Q}'_{pr} + 4\eta_2/\overline{Q}'_{pr}}\ \frac{(e_{\beta in})^{8/5}}{(e_{\beta in})^{2\alpha_{w0}}} \\
&\times \int_{e_{\beta in}}^{e_\beta} \frac{x^{2\alpha_{w0}-\ 1}}{(1-x^2)^{3/2}}\ \mathrm{d}x \\
&\times \left\{\int_{e_{\beta in}}^{e_\beta} \frac{x^{3/5}}{(1-x^2)^{3/2}}\ \mathrm{d}x\right\}^{-1}\ , \\
\alpha_{w0} &= \frac{4\ \eta_2}{\eta_1 + 4\ \eta_2}\ . \qquad (80)
\end{aligned}$$

Eq. (80) yields for the near circular orbits

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\tau_{SW}(e_{\beta in}; e_\beta; \eta_1; \eta_2)}{\tau_E(e_{\beta in}; e_\beta)} &= \frac{5}{\eta_1/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr} + 4\ \eta_2/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}\ X_{SWE}\ , \\
X_{SWE} &= \frac{1}{(e_{\beta in})^{2\alpha_{w0}}} \int_{e_{\beta in}}^{e_\beta} x^{2\alpha_{w0}-1}\mathrm{d}x \\
&\times \left\{\frac{1}{(e_{\beta in})^{8/5}} \int_{e_{\beta in}}^{e_\beta} x^{3/5}\mathrm{d}x\right\}^{-1} \\
&= \frac{4}{5\ \alpha_{w0}}\ \frac{(e_\beta/e_{\beta in})^{2\alpha_{w0}} - 1}{(e_\beta/e_{\beta in})^{8/5} - 1}\ , \\
\alpha_{w0} &= \frac{4\ \eta_2}{\eta_1 + 4\ \eta_2}\ , \\
e_{\beta in} &\ll 1\ , \qquad (81)
\end{aligned}$$

or, shortly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\tau_{SW}}{\tau_E} &= \frac{\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}{\eta_2}\ , \\
\frac{\tau_{SW}}{\tau_E} &\equiv \frac{\tau_{SW}(e_{\beta in} \ll 1; e_\beta; \eta_1; \eta_2)}{\tau_E(e_{\beta in} \ll 1; e_\beta)}\ . \qquad (82)
\end{aligned}$$

More straightforward approach uses the first of Eqs. (64), when $\gamma_T = 0$ and, formally, $e_\beta = 0$. Similarly, Eqs. (76), (78) and (79), give

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\tau_{SW}}{\tau} &= 1\ +\ \left(\frac{\eta_2}{\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}\right)^{-1}\ , \\
\frac{\tau_E}{\tau} &= 1\ +\ \frac{\eta_2}{\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}\ , \\
\frac{1}{\tau} &= \frac{1}{\tau_E}\ +\ \frac{1}{\tau_{SW}}\ , \\
e_{\beta in} &\ll 1\ . \qquad (83)
\end{aligned}$$

Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(e_{\beta in} \ll 1; e_\beta; \eta_1; \eta_2) &= \frac{1}{4}\ \frac{1}{1\ +\ \eta_2/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}} \\
&\times \left(\beta\frac{GM_\star}{c}\right)^{-1} (a_{\beta in})^2\ , \\
\tau_{SW}(e_{\beta in} \ll 1; e_\beta; \eta_1; \eta_2) &= \frac{1}{4}\frac{\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}{\eta_2} \\
&\times \left(\beta\frac{GM_\star}{c}\right)^{-1} (a_{\beta in})^2\ , \\
\tau_E(e_{\beta in} \ll 1; e_\beta) &= \frac{1}{4}\ \left(\beta\ \frac{G\ M_\star}{c}\right)^{-1} \\
&\times (a_{\beta in})^2\ . \qquad (84)
\end{aligned}$$

We can write, as a good approximation,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\tau_{SW}}{\tau_E} &\doteq \frac{\overrightarrow{F}_{P-R} \cdot \overrightarrow{e}_T}{\overrightarrow{F}_{SW} \cdot \overrightarrow{e}_T}\ , \\
\frac{\tau_{SW}}{\tau_E} &\doteq \frac{u}{c}\ \frac{\overrightarrow{F}_{P-R} \cdot \overrightarrow{e}_R}{\overrightarrow{F}_{SW} \cdot \overrightarrow{e}_R}\ \left\{1 - \left(1 + \frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right)\ \frac{\overrightarrow{v} \cdot \overrightarrow{e}_R}{u}\right\} \\
&\doteq \frac{u}{c}\ \frac{\overrightarrow{F}_{P-R} \cdot \overrightarrow{e}_R}{\overrightarrow{F}_{SW} \cdot \overrightarrow{e}_R}\ , \qquad (85)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\overrightarrow{F}_{P-R}$ is the Poynting-Robertson force (electromagnetic radiation pressure force) and $\overrightarrow{F}_{SW}$ is the stellar wind force (corpuscular radiation pressure force):

$$\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{F}_{P-R} &\doteq \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\star\ m}{r^2} \\
&\times \left[\left(1\ -\ \frac{\overrightarrow{v} \cdot \overrightarrow{e}_R}{c}\right) \overrightarrow{e}_R\ -\ \frac{\overrightarrow{v}}{c}\right]\ , \qquad (86)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{F}_{SW} &\doteq \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\star\ m}{r^2} \\
&\times \left(\frac{\eta_2}{\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}\ \frac{u}{c}\ -\ \frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}\ \frac{\overrightarrow{v} \cdot \overrightarrow{e}_R}{c}\right) \overrightarrow{e}_R \\
&- \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\star\ m}{r^2} \frac{\eta_2}{\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}\ \frac{\overrightarrow{v}}{c}\ , \qquad (87)
\end{aligned}$$

where the change of test particle mass m, due to the action of the stellar wind, is neglected. We remind that the electromagnetic radiation pressure force contains both the non-velocity and velocity terms of the body moving with respect to the central star, the source of the electromagnetic

radiation; the same holds for the corpuscular radiation pressure force. As for the difference between the results holding for the κ and the Maxwell-Boltzmann distributions, one can compare Eq. (87) with Eq. (30) in Klačka (2013). The forces presented by Eqs. (86)-(87) are drag forces.

Eq. (82) can be rewritten to the form

$$\frac{\tau_{SW}}{\tau_E} = \frac{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}{\eta_{\odot 2}} \frac{\tilde{\eta}_{\odot 2}}{\tilde{\eta}_{\star 2}} \frac{L_\star}{L_\odot} \frac{\dot{M}_\odot}{\dot{M}_\star} , \quad (88)$$

if also the second of Eqs. (77) is used. The result represented by Eq. (88) differs from that presented by Plavchan et. al (2009 - Eq. A10), and, also from Eqs. (31)-(32) by Klačka (2013).

5.2.2 The ratio of repulsive to gravitational forces

As an illustration of the importance of our new results, we will shortly discuss the ratio of repulsive to gravitational forces. Defining the parameter

$$\beta_{ESWR} \equiv \frac{F_{elmg} + F_{wind}}{F_{grav}} = \frac{3}{16\,\pi} \frac{L_\star\, P_{ESWR}}{G\, M_\star\, c\, \rho\, R} , \quad (89)$$

where the dimensionless factor

$$P_{ESWR} = \overline{Q}'_{pr} + Q_{wind} \frac{\dot{M}_\star\, u\, c}{L_\star} \quad (90)$$

measures the extent to which the pressure exerted by the radial wind dominates electromagnetic radiation pressure, see, e.g., Eqs. (5)-(7) in Strubbe and Chiang (2006). We remind that $L_\star$ is the luminosity of the star, $M_\star$ its mass and $\dot{M}_\star$ is the amount of stellar wind mass ejected by the star per unit time. The quantity Q_{wind} is the dimensionless cross section the dust grain presents to wind pressure. Comparing with our physical approach, we can write

$$Q_{wind} = \eta_2 \frac{L_\star}{\dot{M}_\star\, c^2} . \quad (91)$$

Eqs. (89)-(90) can be rewritten to the form

$$\beta_{ESWR} = \beta_E + \frac{3}{16\,\pi}\, Q_{wind} \frac{\dot{M}_\star}{M_\star} \frac{u}{G\,\rho\,R} ,$$
$$\beta_E = \frac{3}{16\,\pi} \frac{L_\star\, \overline{Q}'_{pr}}{G\, M_\star\, c\, \rho\, R} . \quad (92)$$

The quantity β_{ESWR} is the relevant quantity which has to be used as the β parameter in the initial values of orbital elements presented by Klačka (2004 - Eqs. 58-59, or, as a special case, Eqs. 60-63).

Formally, inserting Eq. (91) into Eq. (82),

$$\frac{\tau_{SW}}{\tau_E} = \frac{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}{Q_{wind}} \frac{L_\star}{\dot{M}_\star\, c^2} . \quad (93)$$

Eq. (82), the second of Eqs. (85) and Eq. (91) yield

$$\frac{\overrightarrow{F}_{SW} \cdot \overrightarrow{e}_R}{\overrightarrow{F}_{P-R} \cdot \overrightarrow{e}_R} \frac{c}{u} \doteq \frac{\dot{M}_\odot\, c^2}{L_\odot} \frac{Q_{wind}}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}} \frac{\dot{M}_\star}{\dot{M}_\odot} \left(\frac{L_\star}{L_\odot}\right)^{-1} . \quad (94)$$

The first of Eqs. (77), the second of Eqs. (84) and Eq. (91) yield

$$\tau_{SW}(e_{\beta in} \ll 1; e_\beta; \eta_1; \eta_2) = \frac{1}{Q_{wind}} \frac{m}{\dot{M}_\star R^2} (a_{\beta in})^2 . \quad (95)$$

5.2.3 Numerical calculations

Eq. (82) yields

$$\frac{\tau_{SW}}{\tau_E} = \frac{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}{\eta_2} \doteq \frac{5}{7}\, \overline{Q}'_{pr} \quad (96)$$

for the Solar System. This corresponds to 20% of the value presented by Plavchan et al. (2005 - text below Eq. 3 on p. 1166).

Let us calculate the numerical value of Q_{wind} given by Eq. (91). We can use $L_\odot = 3.824 \times 10^{26}$ W and $\dot{M}_\odot \doteq 2.5 \times 10^{-14}$ $\mathrm{M}_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ (see, e.g., *http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solar_wind*). If we would use $\eta_2 = 0.38$ (Klačka et al. 2012), then we would obtain $Q_{wind} \doteq 1.0$, which is consistent with the conventionally used value (see, e.g., Strubbe and Chiang 2006 - p. 654). However, if we use the physical value $\eta_2 = 1.4$, then $Q_{wind} \doteq 3.8$. The values $\dot{M}_\odot \doteq 2.0 \times 10^{-14}$ $\mathrm{M}_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ (e.g., Plavchan et al. 2009 – Sec. 4.4.3) and $\eta_2 = 1.4$ lead to

$$Q_{wind} \doteq 4.7 . \quad (97)$$

If we would use the approximation $\tilde{\eta}_{\odot 2}/\tilde{\eta}_{\star 2} \doteq 1$ in Eq. (88), then we would obtain

$$\frac{\tau_{SW}}{\tau_E} \doteq \frac{5}{7}\, \overline{Q}'_{pr} \frac{L_\star}{L_\odot} \frac{\dot{M}_\odot}{\dot{M}_\star} , \quad (98)$$

which is different from the conventional approach using the value 3 instead of 5/7 (see, e.g., Plavchan et. al 2009 - Eq. A10).

Eq. (94) leads to

$$\frac{\overrightarrow{F}_{SW} \cdot \overrightarrow{e}_R}{\overrightarrow{F}_{P-R} \cdot \overrightarrow{e}_R} \frac{c}{u} \doteq \frac{\eta_2}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}} \frac{\dot{M}_\star}{\dot{M}_\odot} \left(\frac{L_\star}{L_\odot}\right)^{-1} ,$$
$$\doteq 0.3 \frac{Q_{wind}}{\overline{Q}'_{pr}} \frac{\dot{M}_\star}{\dot{M}_\odot} \left(\frac{L_\star}{L_\odot}\right)^{-1} ,$$
$$\eta_2 = 1.4 , \quad (99)$$

if the values $L_\odot = 3.824 \times 10^{26}$ W and $\dot{M}_\odot \doteq 2.0 \times 10^{-14}$ $\mathrm{M}_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ are used. The second of Eqs. (85) and Eq. (99) give

$$\frac{\tau_{SW}}{\tau_E} \doteq \frac{10}{3} \frac{\overline{Q}'_{pr}}{Q_{wind}} \frac{L_\star}{L_\odot} \left(\frac{\dot{M}_\star}{\dot{M}_\odot}\right)^{-1} . \quad (100)$$

We stress that Eq. (97) holds.

On the basis of Eqs. (84) we can write

$$\frac{\tau(e_{\beta in}; e_\beta; \eta_1; \eta_2)}{\tau_E(e_{\beta in}; e_\beta)} \leqslant \frac{\tau(e_{\beta in} \ll 1; e_\beta; \eta_1; \eta_2)}{\tau_E(e_{\beta in} \ll 1; e_\beta)} \doteq \frac{1}{1 + \eta_2/\overline{Q}'_{pr}} . \quad (101)$$

This formula can be applied to the zodiacal cloud in the Solar System. Using the approach presented by Fixsen and Dwek (2002), Eq. (101) yields

$$\frac{\tau(e_{\beta in}; e_\beta; \eta_1; \eta_2)}{\tau_E(e_{\beta in}; e_\beta)} \leqslant \frac{1}{1 + \eta_2/\overline{Q}'_{pr}} \doteq \frac{1}{4} , \quad (102)$$

since $\eta_2 = 1.4$ and $\overline{Q}'_{pr} = 1/2$ (Fixsen and Dwek 2002, p. 1014). The mass-loss rate of the micron-sized dust particles in the zodiacal cloud is about

$$\dot{M}_d = 24 \times 10^{10}\ \mathrm{kg\ yr}^{-1} , \quad (103)$$

i.e. 4-times higher than the value found by Fixsen and Dwek (2002). For a particle mass density of $\varrho = 3$ g cm^{-3}, a grain radius of 30 μm, and a radiation pressure efficiency $\overline{Q}\,'_{pr} = 0.5$, we find that the lifetime for a particle at 1 AU is about 3.3×10^4 yr instead of 10^5 yr presented by Fixsen and Dwek (2002).

We now turn to an application to M dwarfs. On the basis of Eqs. (92) and the data given by Plavchan et al. (2005, Sec. 5. 6), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_{ESWR} &\doteq \left(x_{\beta E}\,\frac{g_L}{g_M} + x_{\beta wind}\,\frac{f_M}{g_M} \right) \frac{1}{R[\mu\mathrm{m}]}\,\frac{2500}{\rho[\mathrm{kg\ m^{-3}}]}\ , \\
x_{\beta E} &= 2.30 \times 10^{-1}\ \overline{Q}\,'_{pr}\ , \\
x_{\beta wind} &= 4.80 \times 10^{-2}\ \frac{Q_{wind}}{4.7}\ \frac{u\left[\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}\right]}{450}\ , \\
g_L &\equiv \frac{L_\star}{0.1\ L_\odot}\ , \\
g_M &\equiv \frac{M_\star}{0.1\ M_\odot}\ , \\
f_M &\equiv \frac{\dot{M}_\star}{10\ \dot{M}_\odot}\ . \qquad (104)
\end{aligned}$$

Formula represented by Eqs. (104) offers higher values of β_{ESWR} than the values discussed by Plavchan et al. (2005). In comparison with the calculations of the authors, larger particles escape from the stars. Moreover, one has to bear in mind that the statement below Eq. (92) holds: e.g., particle of $\beta_{ESWR} = (\,1 - e_P)\,/\,2$ moves in parabolic orbit if ejected (with zero ejection speed) at periastron of the parent body moving in an osculating ellipse with eccentricity e_P. As an example, corresponding to the data discussed by Plavchan et al. (2005), we take the following physical values: $\rho = 2500$ kg m^{-3}, $u = 450$ km s^{-1}, $\overline{Q}\,'_{pr} = 1$, $Q_{wind} = 4.7$, $L_\star = 0.1\ L_\odot$, $M_\star = 0.5\ M_\odot$, $\dot{M}_\star = \dot{M}_\odot$. Then, $R = 0.04696\ \mu$m $/\ \beta_{ESWR}$, which yields $R = 4.70 \times 10^{-2}\ \mu$m for $\beta_{ESWR} = 1$, and, $R = 2.35 \times 10^{-1}\ \mu$m for $e_P = 0.6$. These values are 235, and, 1175-times greater than the value $2 \times 10^{-4}\ \mu$m presented by Plavchan et al. (2005) as a blowout radius.

A relation between the luminosity of the infrared excess due to dust $L_{1R} \approx (\nu L_\nu)_{IR}$ and the rate at which mass is being removed from parent bodies and converted into dust, $\dot{M}_d$, is

$$\dot{M}_d = \frac{C_0\ L_{1R}}{c^2}\ \left(1 + \frac{Q_{wind}}{\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}\ \frac{\dot{M}_\star\ c^2}{L_\star} \right)\ , \qquad (105)$$

where C_0 is a numerical constant of order unity and it depends on the assumed initial dust distribution relative to the inner radius at which the dust sublimates (see, e.g., Plavchan et al. 2005, where also the value $C_0 = 4$ is presented). Eq. (105) is consistent with Klačka (2013), but while $Q_{wind} \doteq 9/4$ for the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution, the value $Q_{wind} \doteq 4.7$ holds for the $\kappa-$distribution - compare Eq. (97).

6 CONCLUSION

The physics of the solar/stellar drag is given by Eqs. (37)-(38). The solar/stellar wind corpuscles strike the dust particle orbiting the Sun/star and they act as a drag force. The drag coefficient c_D^{tot} depends on the velocity distribution holding for the wind corpuscles.

Equation of motion of a homogeneous spherical body under the action of the gravity of the Sun and the solar electromagnetic and corpuscular radiation is given by Eqs. (37)-(38), where the data from Sec. 3 have to be used. As an approximation, Eqs. (51), (55) and (53) can be used, if also the P-R effect is considered. On the basis of Eq. (54) we can write the most simple form of the equation of motion (to terms of order v/c and v/u):

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\overrightarrow{v}}{dt} &\doteq \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2} \\
&\times \left[1 + \frac{\eta_2}{\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}}\ \frac{u}{c}\ -\ \left(1 + \frac{\eta_1}{\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}} \right) \frac{\overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{e}_R}{c} \right] \overrightarrow{e}_R \\
&- \beta\ \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2} \left(1\ +\ \frac{\eta_2}{\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}} \right) \frac{\overrightarrow{v}}{c} \\
&- \frac{G\ M_\odot}{r^2}\ \overrightarrow{e}_R\ , \qquad (106)
\end{aligned}$$

if also gravity of the Sun is used. The obtained results are based on the observational fact that κ-distribution holds for the solar wind corpuscles. The term $(\eta_2/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr})u/c$ cannot be neglected for the time-variable solar wind.

The conventional approach uses $\eta_1 = \eta_2 \in \langle 0.2, 0.3 \rangle$, the Maxwell-Boltzmann velocity distribution of solar wind corpuscles leads to $\eta_1 = \eta_2 = 2/3$, because the relevant contributions of the solar wind action contain also the sputtering and reflection components in addition to direct impact. The physical result based on the observed $\kappa-$distribution yields $\eta_1 \doteq 1.1$, $\eta_2 \doteq 1.4$ for the Solar System. The relation $\beta = 5.760 \times 10^2\ (L_\star/L_\odot)\ (M_\odot/M_\star)\ \overline{Q}\,'_{pr}/(R[\mu m]\rho[kg\ m^{-3}])$ holds for the homogeneous particle of radius R and mass density ρ. Moreover, the following approximations can be used: $\eta_{i\star} \doteq \eta_{i\odot}\ (u_{w\star}/u_{w\odot})\ [n_{p\star}(r_0)/n_{p\odot}(r_0)]\ (L_\odot/L_\star)$, $i = 1$ and 2, where u_w is the wind speed, $n_p(r_0)$ is the concentration of protons at a given distance r_0 and L is the luminosity of the central object. As for the exact approach, see Eqs. (43), (45) and (77).

As for the secular evolution, the most simple case is described by Eqs. (69)-(70). Quantitative result comparing the importance of the solar wind with respect to the P-R effect, as for the secular evolution, is presented by Eq. (82) corresponding to the approximation of near circular orbit. The solar wind effect is 1.4-times more important than the P-R effect, if $\overline{Q}\,'_{pr} = 1$, as for the secular orbital evolution of the spherical dust particle.

Owing to the transversal component of the P-R effect and the effect of the solar wind, the orbits of Solar System grains collapse in

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{PR+sw} &\doteq \frac{7.0 \times 10^6\ \mathrm{yrs}}{\overline{Q}\,'_{pr} + \eta_2}\ R\ \rho\ r^2 \\
&\doteq \frac{400\ \mathrm{yrs}}{\beta\left(1 + \eta_2/\overline{Q}\,'_{pr}\right)}\ r^2\ , \\
\eta_2 &\doteq 1.4\ , \qquad (107)
\end{aligned}$$

where R[cm] is particle's radius, ρ[g cm^{-3}] its mass density, r[AU] is heliocentric distance of the particle (trajectory is approximated by a circle - near circular orbit). This holds under the assumption of the radial solar wind. For a particle mass density of $\varrho = 3$ g cm^{-3}, a grain radius of 30 μm, and

a radiation pressure efficiency $\overline{Q}'_{pr} = 0.5$, we find that the lifetime for a particle at 1 AU is about 3.3×10^4 yr instead of 1.3×10^5 yr found by Fixsen and Dwek (2002).

The non-radial component of the solar wind velocity ($\gamma_T \neq 0$ in Eq. 37), the change of the solar wind properties during the solar cycle and the decrease of the particle's mass enhance the difference between the solar wind action and the P-R effect.

The equation of motion represented by the above discussed forms, including the simple Eq. (106), may significantly change our understanding of the long-term orbital evolution of dust particles, since the total radiation effect is more important than it has been considered up to now. This holds both for the Solar System and surroundings of other stars with stellar winds, see also Sec. 5.2 (e.g., the dimensionless cross section the dust grain presents to wind pressure is $Q_{wind} \doteq 4.7$, instead of the conventionally used value 1.0). Maybe, initial stages of creation of planetary systems are more rapid. As an application we can also mention that more abundant sources of dust grains, e.g., asteroids and short periodic comets, are required in the Solar System (about 4-times of the conventional ideas). Other applications concern orbital evolution in mean-motion orbital resonances with planets, possible capture of interstellar dust grains in the Solar System, etc. .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the Scientific Grant Agency VEGA No. 1/0670/13.

REFERENCES

Abe S., 2009, In: Small Bodies in Planetary Systems, I. Mann, A. M. Nakamura and T. Mukai (eds.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 129-166

Banaszkiewicz M., Fahr H. J., Scherer K., 1994, Icarus, 107, 358

Bruno R., Carbone V., Sorriso-Valvo L., Bavassano B., 2003, J. Geophys. Res., 108 (A3), SSH8

Dohnanyi J. S., 1978, In: *Cosmic Dust*, J. A. M. McDonnell (ed.), Wiley-Interscience, Chichester, 527-605

Fixsen D. J., Dwek E., 2002, Astrophys. J., 578, 1009

Gloeckler G., Fisk L. A., Geiss J., 2010. Composition of the inner source measured with the solar wind ion composition spectrometer on Ulysses. In: *CP1216, Twelfth International Solar Wind Conference*, M. Maksimovic, K. Issautier, N. Meyer-Vernet, and F. Pantellini (eds.), American Institute of Physics, pp. 514-517.

Hundhausen A. J., 1997, In: Introduction to Space Physics, M. G. Kivelson and C. T. Russell (eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 91-128

Klačka J., 1992a, Earth, Moon, and Planets, 59, 23

Klačka J., 1992b, Earth, Moon, and Planets, 59, 41

Klačka J., 1994, Earth, Moon, and Planets, 64, 125

Klačka J., 2004, Cel. Mech. and Dynam. Astron., 89, 1

Klačka J., 2008a, arXiv:0807.2795 [astro-ph]

Klačka J., 2008b, arXiv:0807.2915 [astro-ph]

Klačka J., 2013, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 436, 2785

Klačka J., Kómar L., Pástor P., Petržala J., 2008, Astron. Astrophys., 489, 787

Klačka J., Petržala J., Pástor P., Kómar L., 2009, arXiv:0904.0368 [astro-ph] (Icarus - in press, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2012.06.044)

Klačka J., Petržala J., Pástor P., Kómar L., 2012, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 421, 943

Lazar M., Schlickeiser R., Poedts S., 2012. Suprathermal Particle Populations in the Solar Wind and Corona. In: *Exploring the Solar Wind*, M. Lazar (ed.), Intech, Rijeka, pp. 241-258.

Lorenz L., 1890, Det Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskabs Skrifter 6. Raekke, 6. Bind, 1

Maksimovic M., Pierrard V., Lemaire J. F., 1997, Astron. Astrophys., 324, 725

Mie G., 1908, Ann. Phys., 330, 377

Pástor P., Klačka J., Kómar L., 2012, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 415, 2637

Pierrard V., 2012. Kinetic Models of Solar Wind Electrons, Protons and Heavy Ions. In: *Exploring the Solar Wind*, M. Lazar (ed.), Intech, Rijeka, pp. 221-240.

Pierrard V., Lamy H., Lemaire J., 2004, J. Geophys. Res. 109, A02118

Plavchan P., Jura M., Lipscy S. J., 2005, Astrophys. J., 631, 1161

Plavchan P., Werner M. W., Chen C. H., Stapelfeldt K. R., Su K. Y. L., Staufer J. R., Song I., 2009, Astrophys. J., 698, 1068

Robertson H. P., 1937, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 97, 423

Scudder J. D., 1992a, Astrophys. J., 398, 299

Scudder J. D., 1992b, Astrophys. J., 398, 319

Strubbe L. E., Chiang E. I., 2006, Astrophys. J., 648, 652

Vasyliunas V. M., 1968, J. Geophys. Res., 73, 2839

Whipple F. L., 1955, Astrophys. J., 121, 750

Whipple F. L., 1967, Smithson. Astrophys. Obs. Spec. Rept., 239, 1

Zirker J. B., 1981, In: *The Sun as a Star*, S. Jordan (ed.), CNRS and NASA, Paris and Washington, 135